09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Certificate of Notice Pg 1 of 89

Endorsed Order:

The Sale Order plainly covers Mr. Dunsmore's claims, and he has shown no basis for any exception. The relief requested by Mr. Dunsmore is denied. The stay imposed by the injunctive provisions of the Sale Order will remain in place with respect to Mr. Dunsmore's lawsuit in California state court until further action by this Court. This Endorsed Order is without prejudice to the rights of any party to bring any additional relevant facts to the Court's attention or to any future rulings by this Court.

Dated: New York, New York s/Robert E. Gerber October 19, 2015

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Po Box 32200
Stockton Ca 95213

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

W R

General Motor UC

Case 69-50026 (RECG)

Darry Dunsman

Plant III

General Motors Et al

Defendants

Ear Declatory Relief

The New GM Attests and Declares, it is not an accessory to any misconduct or crime Camm. Hed by the old GM Detendants Notify and ordered the Defendants to cooperate according to law with all Discovery requests by plaintiff to New Defendants (New GM) Per Penal Code 135 of California

Cottogoozofig bos13\$1814966\$0/24/15 Ephoned (0/251650):24.67 Inorged Cottogoozofig Cottificate of Notice Pg 3 of 89

1/2 (103 S C + 1660 75 1 8 to 2d 675 Strock

V Burns (1976) 427 bs 347 373 (96 9 c +

2673 49 1 (2d 2d 542 The Deprovention of

The Constitutional Kight will cause

irrepairable harm by contoed suffering for
a wrongful Conviction of Some one detailly

Mocent with continued reaccentran

I occlure under puralty of perjury The bargony

9/22/15 DDe

09-50026-70 Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Pg 4 of 89

PO BOX 32000
Stack to a Ca 95213

ONITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RE
GUERAL Motors (IC Cuse 09-50026 (REG)

Darryl Dimmare
Plant of

V

Corrol Motor Et al
Defendants

Related Case Corn en Cant of Appeals writ of Habres

alorlo Elospo

09-\$0026-ing Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Pg 5 of 89

806237 C34-132

Po Box 32200

Stockton Ca 95213

ONITED STATES BANKFUPTEY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE

General Motors CIC

Ignition switch citigation

Darryl Dursnare

Plaintiff

General Motors et al

Defendants

objection To

schiebleing order

this law soit pertains to Plantills wrongful consists and which plaintill Seek board to trouble Merchated Discovery from Defendants as to which he has not been able to obtain Concerning actual mosses Claims in the Southern District of California Case 004197 GPC-PCI

Plaint. If objects to the schedule order Because he is a Pro Se Litigant, incorrected with Physical Disibilities requirely assistance to bress transfer Bath it being extremly Difficult for plaintiff to timely persue this litigation couldn't

Any argument concurring The late filety of this objection is note according to Prison had box and That plaintil did not timely recieve the Denard Notice until 9/18/15 Doe to transfer to a Medical facility in Colifornia Colifornia Healthcare facility in Stockton Plaintilt Shoold thus Not be bound to the terms of the scheduling and

Jesses That should Be Presented to The Backruptcy Court O9-50026-mg Doc 18513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged This plaintiff sertificate or possess Pg 7 of 89 migue Discovery which is seeking exculpatory Discovery which is constitutionally Mandated and interference in the persuit of Said Evidence would be a manifest injustice of Keeping an otherwise Actually innocent individule incurrented for a longer period

That has been directly Caused by The Misconduct of General Motors Corp That fraudolartly sold Millions of Defective parts indanguing the lives of customers and The General public and when The Company was finally rendered defunked by these fraudulant actions That bled The Company of all financial stab. 1. ty except its Branch name Conspired with the New on N/4A Motors hyvidation Company to R.d. its self of Massive habilities Though clever Manipolation of Roles of law Contrary to the rules of Prof Conduct 3-210
The Attarnies knowingly assisted each other
and solicited The violation of Colifornia Rules of professional Conduct or State bor Act Bus & RC (16000-6728, Cal Rules of Prof Cond 1-120 by concealing suppressing Destroying and removing avidence of Theor fraudulent

Co 099500264mg Doc 13513n Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/1500:21:5th ulmaged energy certificate of Notice Pg 8 of 89 solicited the Court to Assist in these illegal acts through Clever Manipolation of the bankroptop laws of liquidation in violation of the Col Renal Code 11 134-135 Price V State bar (1982) 30 C3d 537-139 179 CR 914

Which the Attorny's continue to ignore which the Rule of Law Derying Plaintill Constitutionally Mandated discovery causeing this plaintill to suffer a wrongful Conviction and a lengthy stay of nearceration while plaintil attempts to Convence the Court of his actual nnocuce and the munts of his claims youghters around The New york habor and world while plaintills life has been completely destroyed by the Delendants Avouablant acts and Clever on ethical Marphila of the role of law Bus & Pc 6068(d) eal Rules of prof Cord 5-200 (B) Di Sabatino V State box (1980) 27 CJd 159 162 CR 458
Despite The known plight of plaintiff Through Correspondence and bitigation The Defendants continue to thumb their rose at the law and are notificant to the harm The are Causery plantiff by

willful Conceal Certificate of Notices to Aprilia Conceal Certificate of Notices to Aprilia Consuments of of al evidence in the form of Documents of records that support petitioners claims of inocence and the Multination of The Vehical which was evoluted to Delarded a weapon due to the actions of the Delardent's both new and old GM Owners are the Simple sale of the Gration Did not Viod the Deladats responsibilities to reveal Evidence of Crimis cannifed the are willing Co Conspiritors once they decided to destoy Alter and conceal evidence they when ted in the sale of GMC Corp in the form of Documents which revealed the Massive fraued old GM Cannifed

The Debudant's New GM can not argue they had no knowledge of alleged Defective parts or that Documents may not have been exculpatory evidence as supported in Exhibit B GM test drivers were away of the ignition problems in 2006 Two years prior to the Sale the NHTSA crash report Made Mutian of the ignition problem the Debudants and Attornics Coold have reasonably argued ogainst liabilities if they had chosen to reveal and disclose they had chosen to reveal and disclose

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged The Cov- Certificate of Motical Portlo Chapter 11 does not relieve The New OM owners of habilities if they fail in Their ethical and legal dities to disclose acts of fraud and other criminal conduct which they were aware of before the time of sale at the time of Sale and after the Sale Nor of its responsibility to Release to Plaintil the Exalpatory evidence Odudants have knowledge of 'That' would redease Plaintill of his wrongful Contriction and sopport his actual mocence claims Their The Defective Melburctioning vehical not Petition was responsible for the neight hold petitioner incorrected in Cose oulless GPC PCI Southern Distret of California for these reasons The schedule should be Modified for this plaintiff as This Ludges own openion in Exhibit BP19 cite 41 stateing The Dende of relief would be Manfestly unconscionable what could be More so Then the continued manceration of an actually vnocent industrile wangly convicted because of The acts of Deterdants to conceal excorpatory evidence The would relieve plant. If of the wongs.

to eclar under pualty of payary the largone is true about DAD- 6666 1009-50p26-m 1000-20913 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged AD 6277 C3A - Certificate of Notice Pg 11 of 89

Po Box 72200 Stockton Ca 95213

UNITED STATES BLUKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS (126) - 50026 (126) Beneral Motors (() 16 Mitian switch intigation Daryl Durang Plant +, Cf General Motor et al Delendants Menaranden of Points and Arthurities in Support of objection to scheduling order severely orsabled and requires dealy assistance Physical neapicitation is Good Cause for ext and relieb from the schedulains order <u>People V Crovedi</u>

(1966) 65 CZd 199 53 CR 284

209-50026 mg Poc 13518 Eiled 10/24/15, Entered +0/25/15 00:21:57 e (maged coording Certificate of Notice Pg 12 of 89

To prison Mail box rule Haston V Lack 487

US 266 273-76 108 Sct 2379 (1988)

crability

under California Law once New GM agoired The assets and Documents of Miscanduct of old 6M They forfiet their hobility protection by Becomeng Co Conspiritors According PenalCale 135 To Destroy and Conceal Documentary exidence as the New GM Behiclants in this case and were Accessories according to penal code 32 help the alleged Principal of the Crines old GM as defined in Penal code 31 to avoid trial and Conviction of Followers Camiffed by old GM

New Con Actions were an Accessory is Defined by penal Code 32 and farfield habits have defindants were GM Continue to Violate California Law of penal Code 135 by Concealing and refusing Destroying evidence of plaint. Its innocence in plaint. Its innocence in plaint. Its innocence in plaint. Its crimonal wrongful Conviction Case Doi 197-690-901 if Defendants are not accessories to the Crimes of old GM Then They Should immediately release all Exculpatory evidence and fallfull promply all plaints Discovery requests

pg-50026 map Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15, Entered 10/25/15 00:21:52 chaqued,
Speak for Thurself's and That of Their legal
representatives who believe they can Dery
rectation they are
example to account your claim they are
example brakeing state local and constitutional
can to conceal Exculpatory Evidence or destroy
it thereby revealing thurselfs as accessories
to the principles crimes Old On Thereby
Nollifying Labellty protection by Bakruptay
For these reason the briefing schedule
Should be Modified for plantiff.

It Declare under penalty of payory the foregoing is two

alzzles DND-c

7° f 7

Day 09-50026-ing Doc 13518 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 14 of 89
Po Box 32:200
Stockton Ca 95213

ENITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RG

General Mota- (()

Ignition Switch (itigetion)

Daryl Donsmore Plant (I

Beneral Motors etal Delucturts

Table of Content

and Pornts and

Authorities in

Support of objection
to schielding order

People V Croved. (1966) 65 crd

1062

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged
273-76 los set 2379 (1988) 2
Culifornia Peaal Codo
PC31,32,195 2
Contents
Objection 6 pages Menorandur 7 pages
Lodgements.
Sigh but to Medical order for assistence 6 pages
Experise of B General into on GM History 6 pages

Do-09,50,026-ing Doc 19518 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged AC6237 C3A 137
PO Box 32200
Stockton Ca 45213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS General Meeting (CC I guition switch Citization) Dary 1 Denona Gereral Moster et al Defendants Lodgementsi 1-1 Support of Objection To scheduleing order Exhibit A "Medical order for assistance - - - 6 pages Exh. b.t B General into an Em History - - - 6 pages ± Declare these lodgement to be true and aboute 040 -

REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 9/02/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 8/31/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02227 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-145 132 **RAP Staff Present:** ADA Coordinator J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante, Doctor G. Williams, Health Care Appeals Representative, L. Donnelly, Registered Nurse M. Lowe ✓ Yes Inmate Interviewed: No DPM, CCCMS Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: 冈 Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15, MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION ON 9/02/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. YOU HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR A WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE LOCKER. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED YOU, IN WHICH YOU REQUESTED ASISSTANCE WITH PULLOVER SHIRTS, REACHING DOWN TO PLACE SOCKS AND SHOES ON AND GETTING ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR/SHELF. YOU FURTHER STATED WHEN YOU WARM UP, YOU GET BETTER MOVEMENT BUT IN THE MORNING AND AT NIGHT IT BECOMES MORE DIFICULT TO MOVE. YOU STATED THAT THE OFFICERS DO ASSIST YOU AND THAT YOU ARE ABLE TO ACCESS PROGRAMS AND SERVICES WITHOUT CONCERN. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED CNA MOFOR. MOFOR STATED SHE ASSISTS YOU ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. SHE HAS OBSERVED YOU MOVE IN OTHER ACTIVITIES SUCH AS FEEDING YOURSELF. SHE FURTHER STATED THAT SHE WILL INFORM OTHER STAFF TO ASSIST YOU IN THE INTERIM ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND **ACTIVITIES.** If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/2/2015 ADA Coordinator Signature Staff processing instructions: Does delivery or response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No X **Accommodation Order required:** Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log. Distribution: Original - Inmate Copy - 1824 File

Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File

Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

Filed 10/24/15 -\Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57

Certificate of Notice Pd 17 of 89

09-50026-ma

Doc 13513

09-50026-mg Doc 2		Filed 10/24/15 ficate of Notice			15 00:21:5	57 Imaged	
State of California						Corrections and Rehabilitat	ition
REASONABLE ACCOMMO REQUEST CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014)	DATION	INSTITUTION (sta	aff use only):	EC? Y/N	CHCF.	BER (staff use only): C-IS-62227	
*** TALK TO STAF	F IF YOU H	AVE AN EMERGEN	IC,Y * * *		Date Receiv	ived by Staff (staff use o	only):
Do not use a CDCR 1824 to request may delay your access to health ca							
INMATE'S NAME (Print)	I	CDCR NUMBER	ASSIGNMEN [*]	īT		HOUSING	
DUNSMORE, D.		AD 6237				C3 A-115	
INSTRUCTIONS				الريادية المراجعة المراجعة ا	<u>·</u>		
You may use this form if you hav			100	200 may 1 m		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 You may use this form to reque participate in a program, service, 	, or activity. `	You may also use th	nmodation which is form to subm	h, if apr	proved, will en egation of disa	nable you to access a ability-based discrimina	ind/or ition.
Submit this form to the Custody A The COOR 1999 !	• •						
 The CDCR 1824 is a request pro 1824 to request a response for appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-HC if 	a group of i	inmates. If you hav	ve received an	1824 de	ecision that yo		
WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS	S THE PRO	BLEM:	N				
	, <u> </u>		The W				
					$\overline{}$		
	1				$\overline{}$		
		A Commence of the Commence of		/			
WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT:							
# 150 TO		 ,	INV				
The state of the s		16 7	<u></u>				
WHAT DO YOU NEED:		56					
	45 75 45 75						
With the second							
							
						form if you need more sp	pace)
Which of the following best desc				e this re	quest:		
☐ Difficulty walking or getting around		-	ficulty hearing		ficulty talking	☐ On kidney dialysi	is
	☐ Difficulty le	earning Diff	ficulty thinking or	r understa	anding I	☐ Mental impairment	
☐ Other Disability (briefly describe): _							
DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUMENT	TS THAT D	ESCRIBE YOUR D	ISABILITY?		Yes □	No □ Not Sure □	1
(List and attach documents if available		·			• =		•
(Library and	O ₁ Intoless.	1040, 1710,			-		
I understand staff have a right to int	terview or e	vamine me. and m	v failure to coo	nerate r	cause th	is request to be disapp	coved
	10, 1,2	Administracy (/ Idiidi 🗸 👢	ينا الأل	lay out	15 164000t to 25 , ,	JIO.
INMATE'S SIGNA	ATURE			_	D,	ATE SIGNED	
Assistance completing this form pro	ovided by:						
		Last Name	F	irst Name	e	Signature	
				* .			·
☐ IAP is not required as the CDC			5				
no disability access or discriming	lation issue:	.s.	Person mak	ling deter	mination	Title	

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Image Certificate of Notice Pg 19 of 89

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

HOUSING

REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

programs of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination.

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION: LOG NUMBER: CATEGORY:

CHCF-C18. ADA

ASSIGNMENT

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

In accordance with the provisions of the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), no qualified individuals to a disability shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded from participation in, or be denied the benefits of the services activities, or

You may use this form to request specific reasonable modification or accommodation which, if granted would enable

CDC NUMBER

AD6237

qualified/eligible to participate.	A
Submit this completed form to the institution or facility's within 15 working days of receipt at the Appeals Coordinator's do not agree with the decision on this form, you may pure constitutes a decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review.	Appeals Coordinator's Office. A decision with be rendered Office and the completed form will be returned to you. If you rsue further review. The decision rendered on this form
To proceed to SECOND LEVEL, attach this form to an inmat the appeal form.	e/Parolee Appeal Form (CDC 602) and complete section "F" of
Submit the appeal with attachment to the Appeals Coordinarendered on this request form.	nator's Office within 15 days of your receipt of the decision
•	ision, you may request THIRD LEVEL review as instructed on
MODIFICATION OR ACCOM	IMODATION REQUESTED
DESCRIPTION OF DISABILITY: Anky Loss as S.	pordy 1.t.s Dsyphia
WHAT VERIFICATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DISABILITY?	od-1 P = Q- c =c = 1
Dada	edical Records, outcome
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DESCRIBE THE PROBLEM: I have a Rox Decision de	nying My Previous Requests
for Alterations Stateing The	
	ital are refuseine to Assist with
of Lob, Ity in Shoulder Dack h	dress shorts sock due to loss
use Locker Property all over	Floor 8+c get out bed.
WHAT SPECIFIC MODIFICATION OF ACCOMMODATION IS REQ.	UESTED?
Transfer and Dress and una	
Decided or Alteration	of clothes with button
end strays for socks t+	. ,
D-11D-	8/30/15
INMATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE	DATE SIGNED

09-50026-ma Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Pa 20 of 89 Certificate of Notice REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 9/09/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 9/4/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02280 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-115 13 2 **RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator** J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante, Doctor G. Williams. Health Care Appeals Representative, , K. Martin, D. Nelson; Registered Nurse M. Lowe Inmate Interviewed: □ No ✓ Yes DPM, CCCMS Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING. DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: 冈 Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15. MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. **DISAPPROVED** ON 9/09/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. **DUPLICATE ISSUE REFER TO CHCF #CHCF-C-15-022** Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND **ACTIVITIES.** If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/9/2015 Signature **ADA Coordinator** Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No Accommodation Order required: Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log.

Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File

Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

Distribution: Original - Inmate

Copy - 1824 File

09-50026-mg D0C 13513	rificate of Notic			0/15 00:21	.:57 imaged	
State of California			09		Corrections and Rehabilitation	
REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION					SER (staff use only):	
REQUEST CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014)	Y/N CHCF			CHCF-	-C-15-02280	
*** TALK TO STAFF IF YOU H	AVE AN EMERGEN	CY, * * *		Date Receiv	ved by Staff (staff use only):	
<u>Do not</u> use a CDCR 1824 to request health car may delay your access to health care. Instead,	e or to appeal a heal submit a CDCR 736	th care decision 2 or a CDCR 60	n. This 02-HC.			
INMATE'S NAME (Print)	CDCR NUMBER	ASSIGNMEN	Γ	<u> </u>	HOUSING	
Dunsmore, D.	A06237		ي چانوبر	Ÿ	C3 A-115	
INSTRUCTIONS						
You may use this form if you have a physical		والبيقى غهي	VS1016%			
 You may use this form to request a specific participate in a program, service, or activity. 						
Submit this form to the Custody Appeals Offi	ce.	10 m 20 m				
 The CDCR 1824 is a request process, not a 1824 to request a response for a group of appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-HC if disagreeing 	inmates. If you hav	e received an	1824 de	cision that yo		
WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE PRO	BLEM:					
		A T				
			/			
		9/				
WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT:	ACAR					
	A					
	5B					
WHAT DO YOU NEED:)	,				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		····				
				-		
			(use the	back of this	form if you need more space)	
Which of the following best describes your	disability that cau	sed you to file			,	
	Ity seeing Diffi	iculty hearing	□ Diffi	culty talking	□ On kidney dialysis	
☐ Difficulty using arms/hands ☐ Difficulty I	earning Diff	iculty thinking or	understa	inding [☐ Mental impairment	
☐ Other Disability (briefly describe):						
DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS THAT D	ESCRIBE YOUR D	ISABILITY?	· · ·	Yes □	No □ Not Sure □	
(List and attach documents if available, including:	•					
Lundaratand staff have a sink to internal						
I understand staff have a right to interview or e	xamıne me, and my	tailure to coop	perate m	ay cause thi	s request to be disapproved.	
INMATE'S SIGNATURE			-		ATE SIGNED	
Assistance completing this form provided by:				<i></i>	·· = OIGHED	
	Last Name	Fi	irst Name		Signature	
☐ IAP is not required as the CDCR 1824 con	toine			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
no disability access or discrimination issue		Person maki	ing deterr	mination	Title	

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Certificate of Notice Pg 22 of 89

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

18. ADA

HOUSING

REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION: LOG NUMBER: CATEGORY: CHCF-C-15-02280

ASSIGNMENT

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

CDC NUMBER

Durgnesse	D6532			C3 &-115
In accordance with the provisions of the Ame	ericans With Disal	bilities Act (ADA), ne	o qualified individua	Is and the a disibility
shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded fro programs of a public entity, or be subjected to dis	m participation in	n, or be denied the l	benefits of the serv	ices activities, or
You may use this form to request specific re		ation or accommoda	ation which itearan	elderia Duovidi anable
you to participate in a service, activity or programus qualified/eligible to participate.	n offered by the [Department/institutio	n/facility, for which	you are otherwise
Submit this completed form to the institution within 15 working days of receipt at the Appeals of the not agree with the decision on this form, constitutes a decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review of the proceed to SECOND LEVEL, attach this for the appeal form.	Coordinator's Offic you may pursucew. m to an Inmate/Pa	ce and the completed e further review. T nrolee Appeal Form (d form will be return The decision rende CDC 602) and comp	ed to you. It you read on this form w The lete section "F" of
Submit the appeal with attachment to the Appendered on this request form.				
If you are not satisfied with the SECOND LEV the CDC 602.	EL review decisio	n, you may request	THIRD LEVEL reviev	v as instructed on
MODIFICATION	OR ACCOMMO	DDATION REQUE	STED	
DESCRIPTION OF DISABILITY:	05.1 NC	Spordyl	itis	
				
WHAT VERIFICATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DI	SABILITY?	ed cal	Kewards	
DESCRIBE THE PROBLEM: T was told by	Appeal	Coordina	tort	الادهال.
Assistence but sta	il wer	e not i	of 3 to a formed	ar Locker
WHAT SPECIFIC MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMOD	ATION IS REQUES	STED?	win a	ccouncillate
provide Ricard 'So	T re	coer in	term a	: connectation
INMATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE	S	C	2/3//5 E SIGNED	
		ואט		

The massive ongoing recall of General Motors vehicles with faulty ignition switches (and the dozen years the company spent *not* issuing a recall) has made headlines, launched lawsuits, angered legislators, but many consumers who don't own a recalled car have shrugged and said, "Glad I don't drive one of them."

One small defect in a part that controls a car's ignition switch: that's what's at the root of a massive car recall linked to at least thirteen deaths. The cars all came from General Motors brands sold for most of a decade — and as we now know, someone, somewhere knew about the fatal potential of that defect for as long as it existed. The ignition switch problem affects everyone who drives a GM car. But the way that the defect was allowed to remain in the production line for so long affects nearly everyone who drives or shares roads with cars — whether you've ever set foot in a GM vehicle or not.

The GM ignition switch recall started in February with an announcement about 778,000 compact cars. Over the months since then, the recall list has expanded to include about 2.6 million Saturn Ion (2003-2007), Chevrolet Cobalt (2005-2010), Chevrolet HHR (2006-2011), Pontiac G5 (2007-2010), Pontiac Solstice (2006-2010), and Saturn Sky (2007-2010) vehicles. (GM has also recalled another three million cars this year for other, unrelated issues.)

MORE THAN JUST A RECALL

The recall, though, isn't just a recall. It's led to an investigation that has shown that GM and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) were, between them, peripherally aware of the defect for over ten years. Let's take a quick, summary review of key moments in the timeline of events:

- •2001-2002: The first report of a switch problem shows up in preproduction notes for the 2002 Saturn Ion.
- •2005: GM realizes the Chevy Cobalt has a problem with the ignition switch and opens repeated engineering inquiries, but takes no action.
- •2006: GM test drivers become aware of the ignition problem. GM makes some repairs, but mixes older, defective part and newer, improved part under same item number, causing years' worth of confusion.

- •2007: A NHTSA crash report makes mention of the ignition switch turning itself off. NHTSA proposes opening an investigation, but decides not to.
- •2010: After more accidents and deaths, NHTSA once again considers, but then decides against, opening a formal investigation.
- •2012-2013: GM internal testing finds that no, really, these ignition switches are broken.
- •2014: GM finally issues recalls due to the faulty part, totaling roughly 2.6 million vehicles.

At least 13 people were killed (and possibly more) due to this particular defect in that 13-year span, and dozens of other drivers complained about it. But between the start of the problem in 2001 and the enormous and very public recalls in 2014, a few things changed at GM. And by "a few things," we mean "everything."

GENERAL MOTORS IS DEAD. LONG LIVE GENERAL MOTORS.

The early years of the 21st century were not among GM's best. It's easy to see why a GM at the time was so desperately concerned with cutting costs wherever possible: after a high point in 1999, their annual sales numbers began to drop slowly but steadily from 2000 onward. They posted significant losses in 2005, 2006, and 2007, and so were already off to a rough start in 2008.

Then came, well, 2008 — a now-infamous year of domestic and international economic crisis. In the space of less than a year, everything tanked: the housing market collapsed, giant megabanks began flailing wildly, energy prices jumped, and the automotive industry found itself in dire straits.

The combination of a less-than-great half-decade and an international near-collapse of the industry was a one-two punch that GM couldn't withstand. In Nov. 2008 the company announced that without drastic action, they'd be out of cash and out of business by the middle of 2009.

Ford, Chrysler, and GM all testified before Congress in Dec. 2008 to the effect that the U.S. car industry, that most American of industries, was going to collapse in on itself without an infusion of federal bailout cash. Congress declined to hand over money, but GM did get a "bridge loan" from the Bush administration to keep it afloat while a longer-term solution

could be worked out.

Between Dec. 2008 and March 2009, things failed in any way to get better for GM as they kept moving through a back-and-forth of proposed business plans to and with the federal government. On March 30, 2009, the Obama administration announced that the government would not be handing GM a mountain of cash, but that a detailed restructuring plan including Chapter 11 bankruptcy had been worked out in order to save the company. GM officially filed for Chapter 11 reorganization in a New York court on June 1, 2009.

Under the terms of that Chapter 11 filing, a new corporate entity called NGMCO Inc. — the "new" GM corporation — purchased all of GM's "continued operational assets." As part of the terms of sale, NGMCO, Inc., changed its name to "General Motors" and kept all of GM's brands, logos, and trademarks. In one fell swoop, GM ceased to be GM, the troubled corporation with a pile of liabilities, and became GM, the newer, leaner corporation that conveniently left all its liabilities sitting in a trash heap near the door when it walked out.

As for that mess next to the door, the "Old GM" still had to clean it up. Having let the New GM walk off with its name and branding, the remnants of Old GM became the Motors Liquidation Company. That company has been working its way through the bankruptcy, liability, and debtor process ever since.

The New GM, about 60% owned by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, promptly shed jobs, dealerships, manufacturing facilities, and car brands. (Remember Pontiac, Saturn, Hummer, and Saab?) And most critically, they also shed liability for anything they did back when they were still the original GM.

LEAVING BEHIND THE BLAME

Several states' attorneys general, perhaps having a collective moment of clairvoyance, filed an objection to the liability exception part of GM's bankruptcy agreement, saying that potential later accident victims could lose "key legal rights" if it went through. (The Wall Street Journal ran a detailed explainer of the relevant legal aspects back in 2009.) Under the pressure, GM eventually agreed to somewhat expand the scope of its liability to accident victims.

The gist of the change meant that, "[C]onsumers driving old GM cars who

get in accidents during GM's several weeks in bankruptcy court, or after the new GM emerges, will be able to sue new GM." At the time, then-Connecticut Attorney General Richard Blumenthal, one of

the attorneys general who filed the objection, said:

"This agreement captures a very significant group of claims that wouldn't have been covered and is a very significant victory for consumer advocates. It may seem symbolic, but it will be very real and important to people who suffered injuries during this period of time, and it sets a highly significant precedent."

That GM product liability pact is now front and center in the wake of the recall. GM is trying to get lawsuits against it held on the grounds of the restructuring, claiming liability protection.

Former Connecticut AG Blumenthal is now United States Senator Blumenthal, and he's no less concerned about the new GM's liabilities for the old GM's actions than he was in 2009. In late March, he pressed the Justice Department to make sure that GM stays liable for GM's actions. At the time, he told Consumerist, "There is a very powerful legal and moral responsibility on the part of the federal government to intervene here. They enabled GM to emerge from reorganization with very extensive protections from legal responsibility for the death, injuries, and damage their defective vehicles caused."

NOW WHAT?

Well, that's really the billion-dollar question.

It will take months, if not years, for the Justice Department to carry out its criminal investigationand determine if charges are warranted. Getting the various civil suits sorted out will probably take even longer still. GM, in some way, will need to compensate the car owners, accident victims, and surviving families of those who were killed due to this error. That complicated question of how much legal liability GM actually bears for their own error and cover-up will be a key factor in every proceeding. But the most pressing question for the future isn't about GM at all. Although this recall is massive, and GM's particular tie to American taxpayers and the federal government is at play, this defect and this question of liability aren't the central issues we're facing. Instead, the real problem that the GM disaster has brought to light is that

nearly 250 million registered cars on the road in the United States... and 51 ODI employees to make sure that we all stay safe around them. Of those 51 employees a little over half are investigators, Bloomberg reports. It makes for a ratio of about 8.6 million cars on the road for every defect investigator NHTSA has. The agency also receives more than 40,000 consumer complaints per year — and of course, not every consumer who has reason to make a safety complaint ever bothers to do so. NHTSA's 2015 budget for investigating defects is about \$10.6 million, and it's been in that \$10 million ballpark for years.

With those odds, it starts to feel surprising that NHTSA actually catches as many problems as itdoes.

28 investigators can only capture so much data first-hand. In order to act, NHTSA relies on data from the car companies themselves. When the companies take their own sweet time providing it, as GM has been doing, the safety review process hits a bottleneck... and just stops going anywhere at all.

So where do we sit today?

Consumers are aware of the problems, but the defective GM cars are still on the road. GM is paying meager fines of \$7000 per day (from their 2013 revenue of \$3.8 billion) for each day theymiss their deadline for providing data to NHTSA. And thirteen people who were driving or riding in cars that had one small, faulty part in them are still dead.

Whatever this investigation uncovers about this particular defect, this tragic incident spotlights the fact that there are systemic problems with carmakers for whom lives are but data points on a cost/profit sheet and with regulators who ignore their own investigators' reports. Until those underlying issues are remedied, it's only a matter of time until another vehicle with a deadly defect is not only allowed to hit the road, but stay there for far too long.

Barry 50020 mg 500213513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged AD 62-37 CJA - 13 Certificate of Notice Pg 28 of 89
PO Box 32200 Stock to a Ca 95213

UNITED STATES BANKROPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (me 09-500 (RE6) IN RE General Motor U.C. USONITION SWITCH Litigation Darry Donsmore Plantiff General Motors etal

Reople V Lee 1970 3 CA3d SIM 526 83 CR

1082

Mer Certificate of Notice & Pay 29 of 29 c 3 & 682 175 CR 612 Imbler V Pachner 1976 424 US 409 225 47 1 Ed 22 128 141 96 5ct 984 - 5 US V Bagley (1985) 473 US 667 676 87 LED 71 481 490 105 Sct 3375 - -- 5 Brady v Muyland (1963) 373 US 83 87 10 LEJ 3d 215 83 Sct 1194 - - - -12 azaga V Superior Court (1941) 54 C3d 756 378 785 CR231 -Peral Code PC 135 -PC 1054 (e) contents objection -Menorandun - ---- Pages 5 ---- Poges 3.9 Lo dyenneits Lodgement, Complaint & cs 045 638 - - - - 30 pages Exh. b. + B Lawesparchance Houseing in Land Documents - 39 pages april 2-10Certificate of Notice Pg 30 of 89

Po Box 32200

Stack ton Ce. 95217

UNITED STATES BANKRUPCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN BE General Motors (CS Ignation switch Citigation

Darry Dusnar Plantill

> General Motor Et al Defendants

> > Motion to strike,
> >
> > Motion to strike,
> >
> > Stay, Dismissal
> >
> > or any enforcement
> >
> > of injunction upon
> >
> > This plantill

Introduction

This plaintiffs Complaint Exhibit A Directectly attacks The Conduct of The New GM in Solano Superior Court State of 09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Contribute of Notice Pg 31 of 89

Lobel, Viking 24 al

this plant. Contacts that the Debadants
Present Conduct has and is voiding their
Liability protection when the New GM began
to violate state local and constitutional
law of Colifornia when they failed to
Release Disclose or hard over Exculpatory
Constitutionally Mandated Discovery and
began to destroy, or conceal Documentary
evidence petitioner has been forced to
seek through his state tont ofter
failure to respond to correspondence request
for Documentary Evidence in pocession of
the Debadants Exhibit B

once the Defectants New 6th began
to Conduct themselfs in this manner Depriving
Petitioner of Constitutionally Mandate Discovery
which would Prove his actual mocence
claims in his writ of Habeas on Counction
in the Southern District of California
Couse collects GPC PCI The Dew Defendants
because Accessories under California (aw
Penal Code 37 To the principle Delendants
The old 6th as Defined by Penal Code 31
Voiding Their otherwise Lightimete

To deprive petitioner of Evidence in Their Possession and knowledge of Successor of old an Assets in The Form of exculpatory Documentation That support The Malfunction Plantiffs vehical the Alleged weapon as The Cause of the recident and not entert of the plaintiff in the alleged coininal conduct which plaintill now only continues to suffer from because The acts of the New GM to Conceal Destroy quidence in violation of leval code 135 Thereby concealing The action of The principle as Defined in Pual Code 31 to prevent prosecution in a court establishs the New BM Defendant as Accessories as Defined n Penal Code section or forficting NEW GM'S liability protection, Dismissal of This Plaintil action and any motion to strike of stay this plaintiffs Action or claims

Nor Con any injunction supercede Plaintills legal right to the evidence

op-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15.00:21:57 Imaged of Question Certificitie of Notice position of Seeks which is constitute of Notice position of Seeks which is constituted any Continued actual Clearly Supports plaintiffs Claims of Fraud neloding to this court where they slage not to be in concert with the old on Defendants actions yet Continue to attempt to protect the principle cause the old on Defendant with actions that Define and Describe the New GM Defendants as accessories in the old of Defendants as accessories in the old of Defendants Concluct according penal Code 32

As Declared by The been GM Defendants
They became successor in of the old GM
Defendants assets which hoppered to be
Documentary evidence which supports
Plaint. It's Claims of Actual uncernce in
which petitioner seeks to over two his
wrong ful Conviction

However at This time it is The New GM Deludants choice to refuse The Discovery and evidence request in Violation penal Gode 135 that They know is to be used in Trial and are Concealing and Destroying in in Violation of Colifornia and Constitutional Call

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged
Placent of abo argues
Provide Notice to individules housed in county Jail Their by Depriving atleast this Plaintill of his Due process to file a claim in a timely honer Rehibit C Defendants should of Made attempts to have doubted innotes both fred with Posted henorendons in Country duils Deterdants should allow and compensate This Plaintill on any late fileing as the declared they would provide to The Court Conpesation

Plaintill Contacts New on Delendents Letras have barned then bran taking any action against This complaint Through The Bonkrupey Court

Plantiff fortur request a Declatory order Orectors New on Defendants from Destroying or concealing any More Oceanistary enclude no this and plaintill's Case in ques tru

to ectore under puelty of perjung the feregoing is three abouts DAD-e

09,50026,mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Pg 35 of 89

PO BOX 32200

Stockton Ca 9523

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE
GENERAL MATON ((C)

IGNITION SWITCH Litigation

Darry Downsone

Rant (G)

General Motors et al

Deficients

Minoranclom of Points and

Authorities in support of objection

To any Motion to savike, stay;

Insmissal or any enforcement of

Injunction upon this plaintiff

Plaintiffs Complaint attacks Conduct of Plaintiffs Complaints) who according to The (New GM Ochendents) who according to state, weal and Constitutional law established state, weal and Constitutional law established Thenselfs as independent actors Accessories Thenselfs as independent actors Accessories to The Crimes and Miscanduct of The

09-50026-mg Doc 1,3513 Filed 10/24/15. Entered 10/25/15 00:21:5) Imaged Principle actorification Notices 199 3601 89 mod conts) as Defined in Col. Formice Penal Code Statute.

Peral Code 31 Principles Defined Two are principles All persons concerned in The Commission of a Crime wether it Be I clony of Asdomener and wether They Directly Commit The act Constituting the office or aid and abet in its commission and all persons courseling, advising)

Peral Code 32 Accessories defined

Every Person who after a belong has been committed thobars coneals or aids a principal in such felong with the intent that said principal May avoid or escape from arrest trial Conviction or punishmed have: as knowledge that said principal has comitted such felong or has been charged with such belong or Convicted there of is an accessory to such felong

According to PC 31 The New GM established it self as Accessories after hoveing knowledge of Said principals crimes which it attested to in its own fileings with this court with in the newly of PC 72 See any Braid Metron filed by Déladants

when they independently choose to Conceol Destrong Documentary evidence after plaintiff Made request and botice of pending investigation and trial action with in the Meaning of

Pencal Coole 135 Destroy OR CONCEATURE Documentary evidence

(every person who, knowing That any Book, paper record natroment in writeing or other matter or thing is about to be produced in evidence upon any trial inquiry or mustigation what ever authorized by Taw willfully destoys of conceals the Same with intent their by to Prevent it from being produced is guilty)

Plaintill Maile the inquiry Through Correspondence Notified The New BM of Pending actions according Beral Code 135 and Defendants New GM Made independent Action to Deprive plaintill of constitutionally Mendated Discovery Conceal Destroy said documentary evidence which support Petitioners Claim in a writ of habeas Concerning the wrong ful Conviction of one actually innocent Case outigy 6PC PCI Southern District of California Said Docomentary evidence supports The Merits of plaintiff's Claims that the incident was not intuitional on plaintill Part but occurred because The Malfunction of The product Sold by The old GM Ochadonts and their Misconduct

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Placint. If S Certificate of Notice Pastation Directly attacks The present conduct of EM Defendants (The New GM Defendants) which action causes Then To become Accessories to The old EM Defendants) conduct (The principals) when the New Defendants (New GM) took The present action to conceal, Destroy Exculpatory evidence of the (old GM Defendants) Conduct which Plaintiffs Complaint was filed see Exhibit

A cause of Action (Fraud)

Plaintill Contacts that I The New GM Detendants had simply compliced with plantiff request concerning evidence el exculpatory Noture of Molfunctioning Defective parts New GMS committees protection would be in full force against plaintiff and there would be no couse of action but New GM Defudants did not choose That action but instead to conceal and destroy constitutionally madeted Discovery and attempts to Manipulate This court into an accessory as well to its court into an accessory as well to its (course) for The Odudant New am Must Disclose People u Meredith (1981) 29 C3d 682 696 175 CK 612

As Superbed Certificate of Notice 18239 of 89 Places + H was in dad at Three time of Notice to file a Claim with the old GA Defendant Plaintell orgues Defendants did not Provide tre Oiligence To individules in dail Concerning Due process rights as Plaintill access to TV, Kadiopprint are all restricted The Magnitude of The Miscouliet was massive moigh to move for menorandum postring in institution were such restrictions exist imbler v Pachman (1976) 424 05 409 125 47 1 82 22 128 141 96 S cf 984 (US U Bogley (1985) 473 05,667 476 87 L Ed rid 481 490 105 5 ct 3375/Brady V Mayland (1963) 373 US 83 87 10 L Ed 3d 215 83 5 ct 1194 see final code 1054(e) (Discovery Beguned by mitech states Constitution Must occur) trazage V. Superior Court (1991) 54 (3d 356 378 285 (RZ31 Plaintill argues that Deick of any relief would be a Manifestation of injustice and Man, festly unconsimable It teclare under Pualty of pryay The Foregoing is true 4/22/10 D-10-4 5085

Dcv-09-50026-ng Doc 13518 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Pg 40 of 89

Po Box 32200

Stockton Cu 95217

UNATED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RG
General Motor IIC
16 Nition switch intigation
Darryl Dursmane
Plaintiff
V
General Motors et al
Defendants

todgenests in sopposit of objection too any Motion to strike stay Dismissed or any inforcement of injunction upon This plantill



Superior Court of California County of Solano

CLERK OF THE COURT

July 22, 2015

Ulonda Hill Trust Account Office 1600 California Drive Vacaville, CA 95687

Re: DARRYL DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL.

Solano Superior Court Case No. FCS045638

Dear Ms Hill:

Enclosed please find the following documents in regards to the above case:

1. Initial/Subsequent Billing Statement

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me at (707) 207-7330.

Sincerely,

Legal Process Clerk II
Civil/Small Claims Division

Cc: Darryl Dunsmore w/enclosures

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

INITIAL BILLING STATEMENT

DATE	i :	July 22, 2015					
TO:		Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation					
		County Jail					
(Supe	Pursuant to Government Code §68635(d) and an <i>Order on Court Fee Waiver</i> (Superior Court) filed on <u>July 15, 2015</u> , please forward payment for court filing fees as follows:						
NAME	E OF IN	IMATE: DARRYL DUNSMORE					
INMA	TE NO	:AD6237					
PRISC	ON/JAI	L FACILITY: CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY					
SOLA	NO CC	OUNTY CASE NUMBER: FCS045638					
CALC	ULATI	ON OF INITIAL PARTIAL FILING FEE PAYABLE BY	INMATE:				
(1)	Filing	fee: \$ 435.00					
(2)	(a)	Average monthly deposits to inmate's account:	\$ 0				
	(b)	Average monthly balance in the inmate's account for the six-month period immediately preceding					
		the application:	<u>\$ 0</u>				
(3)	20% o	of the greater of (2)(a) or (2)(b):	\$ 0				
(4)	INITIA	L PAYMENT NOW DUE AND PAYABLE:	\$ 0				

Please pay amount listed on line (4). Mail payment to:

Superior Court of California, County of Solano Attention: <u>CIVIL/SMALL CLAIMS</u>
Old Solano Courthouse
580 Texas Street
Fairfield, CA 94533

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Certificate of Notice Pg 43 of 89

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SOLANO**

JUL 2 2 2015 PLAINTIFF: **DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237** CASE NO. FCS045638 DEFENDANT: GMC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL. CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER I, the undersigned, employed as a Legal Process Clerk for the above-entitled court, apply for an order as follows: vacating default described below. vacating judgment described below. vacating answer and/or other responsive pleading described below. complex case determination pursuant to CRC 3.403 other. Document: CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Date filed: <u>7/15/15</u> Reason: Item #2 of Civil Case Cover Sheet is marked "Is" complex. I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 7/15/15, at Fairfield, California. **ORDER** Upon consideration of the clerk's application and review of the court file: IT IS ORDERED: The default(s) of the defendant(s) named in the clerk's application is (are) vacated. The judgment entered on ______ is vacated. The answer and/or other responsive pleading is vacated. ☐ Case is determined to be complex (CRC 3.403) ☐ Case is determined not to be complex (CRC 3.403). Complex Case fees of \$1,000.00 due per defendant within 10 days of the mailing of this order.

JUL 2 0 2015

Set for complex case determination hearing on _____

Dated:

Other:

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 44 of 89

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

[X] 580 Texas Street, Fairfield, CA 94533 [] 600 Union Avenue, Fairfield, CA 94533

CASE NO: FCS045638

CERTIFICATE AND AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of perjury that I am employed as a deputy clerk of the above-entitled court and am not a party to the within-entitled action; that I served the attached document:

CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER

By causing to be placed a true copy thereof in an envelope which was then sealed and postage fully prepaid on the date shown below; that I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that the above stated document will be deposited in the Superior Court of California, County of Solano's outgoing mailbox for collection by county mail carriers on the date indicated. Said envelope was addressed to the attorneys for the parties, or the parties, as shown below:

DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237 CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY PO BOX 2000 VACAVILLE, CA 95696-2000

Dated: 7/22/15

Deputy Clerk

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Pg 45 of 89 Clerk stamps date here when form is filed. Order on Court Fee Waiver FW-003 (Superior Court) Clerk of the Superior Court Person who asked the court to waive court fees: Name: DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237 JUL 1 5 2015 Street or mailing address: CMF PO BOX 2000 Zip: 95696-2000 State: City: VACAVILLE Lawyer, if person in (1) has one (name, address, phone number, e-mail, and State Bar number): Fill in court name and street address: Superior Court of California, County of **SOLANO OLD SOLANO COURTHOUSE 580 TEXAS STREET** A request to waive court fees was filed on (date): 7/15/2015 FAIRFIELD, CA 94533 The court made a previous fee waiver order in this case on (date): Fill in case number and name: Case Number: FCS045638 Read this form carefully. All checked boxes I are court orders. Case Name: DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, et al. Notice: The court may order you to answer questions about your finances and later order you to pay back the waived fees. If this happens and you do not pay, the court can make you pay the fees and also charge you collection fees. If there is a change in your financial circumstances during this case that increases your ability to pay fees and costs, you must notify the trial court within five days. (Use form FW-010.) If you win your case, the trial court may order the other side to pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court will have a lien on the settlement in the amount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the lien is paid. ☐ Request to Waive Additional Court Fees | Request to Waive Court Fees After reviewing your: the court makes the following orders: "Payment of filing fees shall be made pursuant a. X The court grants your request, as follows: to Government Code §68635." (1) \(\) Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and waives your court fees and costs listed below. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.55 and 8.818.) You do not have to pay the court fees for the following: • Filing papers in Superior Court • Giving notice and certificates • Making copies and certifying copies • Sending papers to another court department • Sheriff's fee to give notice • Court-appointed interpreter in small claims court Court fee for phone hearing • Reporter's fee for attendance at hearing or trial, if reporter provided by the court Assessment for court investigations under Probate Code section 1513, 1826, or 1851 • Preparing, certifying, copying, and sending the clerk's transcript on appeal • Holding in trust the deposit for a reporter's transcript on appeal under rule 8.130 or 8.834 • Making a transcript or copy of an official electronic recording under rule 8.835 (2) Additional Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and waives your additional superior court fees and costs that are checked below. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.56.) You do not have to pay for the checked items.

Jury fees and expenses

Other (specify):

Fees for court-appointed experts

☐ Fees for a peace officer to testify in court☐ Court-appointed interpreter fees for a witness

09-50	0026-mg		Filed 10/24/15 tificate of Notice		25/15 00:21:57	Imaged	
Your name:		Cei	unicate of Notice		Case Number: FCS045638		
Wa	rning! If you I filed with yo	miss the deadline ur original request	r request, as follows: below, the court cannot. If the papers were a no	otice of appeal, the	e appeal may be dism	issed.	
(1) 🗆	 (1) The court denies your request because it is incomplete. You have 10 days after the clerk gives notice of this order (see date of service on next page) to: Pay your fees and costs, or File a new revised request that includes the items listed below (specify incomplete items): 						
(2)	The court denies your request because the information you provided on the request shows that you are not eligible for the fee waiver you requested (specify reasons):						
·	form FW- Pay y Ask for hearing	006.You have 10 our fees and cost or a hearing in or ng.)	ank Request for Hear days after the clerk is in full or the amour rder to show the cour	gives notice of t at listed in c. belot t more informati	his order (see date o ow, or on. (Use form FW-0	of service below) to: 006 to request	
c. The	court needs ow. The hea	s more informati ring will be abou	on to decide whether at (specify questions r	to grant your receesegarding eligibi	quest. You must go	to court on the date	
	Bring the	following proof	to support your reque	st if reasonably	available:		
			N	lame and addres	s of court if differen	nt from above:	
Hearing Date	→ Date: Dept.:		Coom:				
rec	quest to wa urt cannot p	ive court fees, a	and you do not go to nd you will have 10 do t papers you filed with	ays to pay your t	fees. If you miss tha	t deadline, the notice of appeal,	
Date: <u>7/1</u>	5/2015		Signature of (check o	ne: Ju	dicial Officer 🗵	Clerk, Deputy	
langu	age interpre	ter services are a	Assistive listening sys available if you ask at attion, Form MC-410.	least 5 days bef	ore your hearing. C		
I certify that I a	m not invol	ved in this case a	Clerk's Certificate		ate of mailing is atta	ched.	
☐ I handed a c	copy of this was mailed f	order to the party irst class, postag	y and attorney, if any, e paid, to the party an , California	listed in 1 and ad attorney, if an on the date beloclerk, by	2), at the court, on y, at the addresses I	the date below.	
			This is a Cour	Cluer.			

	SUM-100
SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL) NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: 6 MC, Colock V, Cong Ct al (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): JUDGE Harry S. FOR ALL PURPO	SES SES
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless y	you respond within 30 days. Read the information
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a viewed on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in procese. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse of the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a not these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: Toosts on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must part of the part of the court of t	written response at this court and have a copy roper legal form if you want the court to hear your and more information at the California Courts nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask by default, and your wages, money, and property ow an attorney, you may want to call an attorney on profit legal services program. You can locate allifornia Courts Online Self-Help Center. The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and be paid before the court will dismiss the case. Sin escuchar su version. Lea la información a sera presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta rotegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar rairo que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Es de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte e perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le soce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de ra obtener servicios legales gratuilos de un el sitio web de California Legal Services, el o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el os exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre
El nombre y dirección de la corte es): 180 (texas)	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso) FXD45638
Ferrfield Ca 94533	1000
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney. It directions are numbered to be a supported by the support of the suppor	idante que no tiene aboqado, es);
DATE: (Fecha) 1.01 1 5 2015 Clerk, by (Secretario)	, Deputy (Adjunto)
For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (Po	OS-010)).
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	,
as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (sy on behalf of (specify):	pecify):
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify):	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
4. by personal delivery on (date):	Page 1 of 1

TUNS 19-58026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 48 of 89

Po Cox 2000 Vacaι: Ne Ca 95696 Pro Se

Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 1 5 2015

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt
FOR ALL PURPOSES

By Manual Control of the Control of

TO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CANFORMS

SOLAND COUNTY

Case# FCSD45038

Darry / Dusner Hant Cf

anc, Labet, V. King 84 Al Ochercharts

Declaration in support
of TRO | INJUNCTION ORDER
IN SUPPORT OF Compley
unlimited civil Complement

I Dary Dinsmare plaint. If in the above captioned case state Daclare The parties involved have been Notified by Us Mail as pertains to Defendants GMC, Label, V. King of The Carplaint and TRO Multimeter order to Show Cause

I Ocelare under pualty of projery the baregoing

5/28/15 DAD -e 6/12/15 09-50026 mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 49 of 89

1AD6277 6-1-14

PO BOX 2000

Vacaville Ca 95696

Pro Se

Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 1 5 2015

DEPUTY CLERK

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt
FOR ALL PURPOSES

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Daryl Dusnere Plant, St ! @ase# FCS 045638

OMC, cobel, Viking Et Al!

Motion for TRO INJUNETIVE Relief

Statement of the Case

This is a civil tort Claim unlimited Complex

Case Concerning large Corporation and Numerous Detendants

who in 2006-2007 conducted themselfs in a Fraudulant

Maner To Sell a vehicle to the plaintiff known or

should have been known to be stolen with

Defective parts exthe ntially installed by the

Defective parts exthe ntially installed by the

of-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:2457 chaged caused workers which the Plaint. If was wrongly Convited Suffring personal nowy loss of property Enotional Distress and loss of Suture earnings and with Malice and next have Continued to refuse plaint. If excel patory evidence Concerning such allegation of which would exponerate the plaint. If and hold defendant liable

Statement of the facts

1. The plaintiff was nuslved in an accident on Dec 3 2007

2. Defendants paid a disclaiment o the witness terry Ram who Declared 94 on accident

3. Appraiser Report indicates a Duplicate title and indication theat the Vehical May be Stolen or tarpered with

M. The Delendants had the aprility prior to the accident to know of the property being Stoler or tappered with

5. The Defendants Manufactured and installed Defective parts and failed to disclose such safty risks and Continue to do so 09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged
Certificate of Notice Pg 51 of 89

7. The plaintiff has been wrongly convicted, Personally injured, Lust Luture earning, Property loss and simption Distress

8. The Debudants St. 11 awn posses have knowledge of Material facts that are Exculpatory in nature in the form of present knowledge written, Recorded, Electoric records, Menes papers or other effects

9. Said above artifact Can Exorerate The plaintiff and hold Defendant hable

Arguenat

Because the Defendants can be held both Criminally and Substantially, financially liable Plaint. If Motions this copyrt for a protective order Preventing the Defendants from Destoying any record that may pertain in any form to the allegations in the Civil Complaint or any other action that Might Make such Records uncivalable, from taking any Displinary or legal action against any employee witness with information Concerning the Civil Complaint and its allegation

Is true

\$\frac{1}{2815} D-10-6/12/15 3.53

ATTOMES 50026 TIMBUT ADORE 13513.11. B.	Filad 10/21/45 Entered 10/	25/15 00-21-57 Imaged CM-010
AD6237 G-1-14 Po BSert	ificate of Notice Pg 52 of 89	COLLY OU.ZI. 31 FOR HOUSE GNLY
MORES! G=1-14 FG Box	2000 Vacas (14 Ca 4564	6
TELEPHONE NO	FAX: NO.:	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	Solano	Clerk of the Superior Court
STREET ADDRESS: 506 Jeras	561000	JUL 1 5 2015
MAILING ADDRESS:	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UL 1 5 2015
CITY AND ZIP CODE: fa. , farel a	Ca 94533	1 (~) 1
BRANCH NAME: Old Solan	Ca 94533 o Court house	- De CIMA
CASE NAME:		DEPUPY CLERK
	el, Viking Et Al	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	T
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)		DEPT: ,2
	low must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type that	at best describes this case:	ASS 17 7 7 7 8
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities Iltigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07		Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)		Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	(assings and a sign many as in
a. Large number of separately repres		
b. Extensive motion practice raising of	NE CONTROL OF THE CON	vith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence f Substantial po	stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	monetary b. nonmonetary; de	eclaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 🧸		
5. This case is is is not a class		
6. If there are any known related cases, file ar		ay use form CM-015.)
Date: 5/28/15 6/12/15		
	inguare 1	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY,
Disjoid on the state of the sta	NOTICE	/
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fire under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W. 	st paper liled in the action of proceeding lelfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Pulse	(except small claims cases or cases filed sof Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.	Siles and institutions code). (Odi. Nules	. 5. Sourt, Tale 5.225.) Fallule to lile may result
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover		
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et so the parties to the action or proceeding.	eq. of the California Rules of Court, you n	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3 	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes only.
The state of the s		Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action, To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
    Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
        Damage/Wrongful Death
    Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
        case involves an uninsured
        motorist claim subject to
        arbitration, check this item
       r Instead of Auto)
```

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or

toxicienvironmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Maloractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PDWD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Other PI/PD/WD.

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wronaful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal

drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) G A

CM-010

ij.

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tortinon-complex) Ş

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tortinon-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse **Election Contest**

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Other Civil Petition

Grighter Webs

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State of the of	
AUG 237 G-1-14 PO BOX ZOBO Vacau. he Con 95691	
	FILED
	Clerk of the Superior Court
TELEPHONE NO: FAX NO. (Optional):	111 1 2 2015
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PCO Su	JUL 1 5 2015
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Soles	
STREET ADDRESS: 500 TRYCS SOT	By
MAILING ADDRESS:	DEPUTY CLERK
CITY AND ZIP CODE: C. Q. C. Q. Y. S. T. C. Q. Y. S. T. C. Q. Y. S. T. C. D. Y. S. L. C. C. Q. Y. S. T. C. C. D. Y. S. L. C. C. Q. Y. S. T. C. C. D. Y. S. L. C. D.	- \$435 FWOF
PLAINTIFF: Davy C Devisione	
DEFENDANT: GOOK, Lobel, Viking at se	
	ASSIGNED TO
DOES 1 TO 10 COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	ASSIGNED TO JUDGE Harry s. Kinnicu
AMENDED (Number):	FOR ALL PURPOSES
Type (check all that apply):	- Old Oppo
MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify):	The company of the control of the co
Property Damage Wrongful Death	
Personal Injury Other Damages (specify):	TO COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	Tarion is a limited Greater in the
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000	
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	FCSOUSU38
ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	
from limited to unlimited from unlimited to limited	
Plaintiff (name or names):	1 - Charles and the second
alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): 6 1/2	el, Viking Et a.)
. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of	pages:
Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult	
a. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	ardian ad litem has been appointed
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a gu(b) other (specify):	ardian ad litem has been appointed
(5) other (specify):	· ·
b. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a gua	ardian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify):	
(5) other (specify):	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in A	ttachment 3
form Approved for Optional Use COMPLAINT—Personal Injury Proper	Page 1 of 3

• .	· •	red 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged					
SH	ORI IIILE.	55 Of 89 CASE NUMBER:					
	Dingrove V GMC ET al						
4. 5	4. Plaintiff (name): Dun snare (specify):						
	and has complied with the fictitious business name laws.						
5.	Each defendant named above is a natural person a. except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):	(2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):					
	(4) a public entity (describe):	(4) a public entity (describe):					
	(5) other (specify):	(5) other (specify):					
	b. except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): (4) a public entity (describe): (5) other (specify): Information about additional defendants who are not natural personal describes and the secondary of the secon	except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): (4) a public entity (describe): (5) other (specify):					
c	The true names and capacities of defendants sued as Does are unknow						
6.							
7.	Defendants who are joined pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure se	Cubit 502 are (names).					
8.	This court is the proper court because a. at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area. b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or urc. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its d. other (specify): Cal Property That is T						
9.	Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and a. plaintiff has complied with applicable claims statutes, or b. plaintiff is excused from complying because (specify):	edical neopication					

Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 **Imaged** Certificate of Notice Pg 56 of 89 PLD-PI-001 CASE NUMBER: SHORT TITLE: BMC. 10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached): a. Motor Vehicle General Negligence Intentional Tort d. Products Liability **Premises Liability** grand Other (specify): 11. Plaintiff has suffered Ioss of use of property c. A hospital and medical expenses d. general damage e. property damage loss of earning capacity g. other damage (specify): wong ful (conviction) The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are listed in Attachment 12. as follows: b. [

13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.

Pla	aintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for
a.	(1) compensatory damages
	(a) [17]

The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)):

(1) according to proof

(2) in the amount of: \$

The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers):

14.

· Dany Durance vs GMC, Co	bel Viking Ctal Defendant Case Number
To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following	documents:
Summons and Complaint/Petition Order to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other	
Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction)	
Agent to Contact for Eviction:	Daytime Phone () Ext:
Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of Explain:	
Agent for Service (if applicable) Address: Viking 7878 Clair man	Liking Stal
Address Villa 1878 Cta. 11 ac	State CA Zip 97111
City Sur Diego Ca Gate Code (if applicable)	Best time to attempt service at this address:
Name of Employer (if applicable) Leston Employer's Address 5233 (e)	SV. rgenes Rd State CA Zip913.2-3447
Openial management	
The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether All communications, refunds and collection Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service	CE TO REQUESTOR**** ment DOES NOT guarantee service the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 26738) ions will be made to the name and address listed below
Address	City State Zip Ca C5696
Telephone Number ()	Fax Number ()
Email address	,
Signature D - C	Date 5/28/15 6/12/16
HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SEI	RVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N

09-50026<u>ng....Doc.13513</u>...<u>Filed 10/24/15</u> Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged
The Sheriff must have original, signed in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010
www.sdsheriff.net/csb courtlocations.html

Plaintiff VS Come Cobel, V. King Stal Case Number	
To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents:	
☑Summons and Complaint/Petition ☑Order to Show Cause ☐Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) ☑Order to Appear ☐Civil Bench Warrant ☐Other	
Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction)	
Agent to Contact for Eviction: Daytime Phone()	
Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of? Yes No Gate Code:	
PARTY TO BE SERVED: C C C C C	
Address HD&C CHUBB General insurance Company Cinifed St	n Flor
Address: HDFC CHUBB General insurance Campany Cinifed 541 City Copess towers Navinan Point State Murician 400-1 Gate Code (If applicable) Best time to attempt service at this address:	021
Description	1
DISTINGUISHING MARKS SCARS OF TATTOOS DRIVER'S LICENSE # AND S' Name of Employer (if applicable) C	TATE
Frederice Address CSCS E Costale (12 cl and left Blue)	
Employers Address 8585 E Frank Cloyd washt Blud City Scotts dale State AZ Zip 8526	0
Best time to attempt service at employer	
Special Instructions	
The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 26738) All communications, refunds and collections will be made to the name and address listed below Name of attorney (for party without attorney) requesting service	
Dary Oursiere AD6237 6-2-224	
PO Box 2000 City Vacaville Ca 9	ુ કુકુકુ
Telephone Number () Fax Number ()	
Email address	
Signature	
HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N	

09-50026-Hitt: "Pace 13513 - Eiled 10/24/15 - Entered 10/25/15-00:21:57 | maged The Sheriff must have original, signed instructions by the attorney or party without autometry in accordance with 57 cp 262 and 687.010 | Certifications of the collisions of the collis

"Voint	Plaintiff V	3 GMC Lo	Defendan	· lung Ft	م آ	Case N	umber
To the Sheriff,	you are instructed to serv	ve the following of	documents	:			
ੴOrder to Shov	ntiff/Defendant (Small Claim ear	ıs)					
☐Writ of Pos	session for Real Property	(Eviction)					
Agent to Conta	act for Eviction:			Daytime P	hone: <u>(</u>)	Ext:
Are there any of Explain:	officer safety concerns that				Gate Cod	e:	
	D BE SERVED:						
Address:	1150 Magnolia	. Ave					
City:	Anahe.m						
	Gate Code (if applicable):						
Descriptio		AGE JISHING MARKS, SC	CARS OR TAT	roos	/	VER'S LICENSE	
	Employer's Address						
•						CA Zıp	
	Best time to attempt s						
Special Instruc						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Sheriff's Department is entitled t All communications, i	e Sheriff's Departme o its fees whether th refunds and collection	ne service is c	guarantee servic	California Gov	vernment Code : elow:	26738)
Name of attorney (or	party without attorney) requesting	. 1	6237	67-	224		
Address Po Bo	0005 %			Vacau	ille	State:	2ip 95696
Telephone Numb			Fax N	umber: ()		· ·
mail address			·				
Signature	10 -			Date: 5/2	8/15	6/12/15	
		SHERI	FF'S USE ON	LY	••••••	••••••	•••••
HEAR	ING DATE	LAST DAY TO SERV	/E	FEE W	AIVER ATTAC	HED? Y	N

The Shelife most recorded with CCP 262 and 687.010 (For levy under Writ of Attachn@ektipfreaeecontactors) courtlocations.html

	VS	
Plaintiff		Defendant
Court Case Number	l,e	rying Officer File Number
the Sheriff, you are instructed to execute a: (One levy per instruction ONLY):	
BANK LEVY		
Levy is for any and all accounts of the Jud Including but not limited to account(s)	dgment Debtor(s).	
Levy is to be limited to account(s):		
THIRD PARTY LEVY ☐Levy is for all funds owed to the Judgmen ☐Levy is to be limited to:	nt Debtor(s) by the Third Party.	
RENT LEVY (Levy is for all rents due during th		
PERSONAL PROPERTY LEVY (The Sheriff is	s directed to Levy and sell the debtor's	s personal property listed below)
Address where personal property is located (P	roperty must be in a public place or a separate	Break-in" order issued by the court is require
TILL TAP (Levy on contents of all cash recept	acles in a going business)	
KEEPER-CASH ONLY (Levy on all cash and cash) KEEPER-CASH AND TANGIBLE PERSONA all tangible personal property of the going business (Require	L PROPERTY (Levy on all cash and cash eless a minimum fee deposit of \$1,500 pending	further quotation)
Keeper is to be installed for ☐8. ☐12. ☐		
Note If ee waiver does not apply to the fee for the kee Name(s) of Judgment Debtor(s) whose property	(per(s) Keeper fees MUST be paid in advantage in subject to this levy (include the debtor's)	nnce social security number, if known/applicable)
The second secon		
SERVE LEVY UPON:		<u> </u>
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Address:	01-1	A_ Zip:
	01-1	A_ Zip:
Address:	01-1	A_ Zip:
Address: City: Special Instructions: The Sheriff	State: C	alifornia Government Code 26738)
Address: City: Special Instructions: The Sheriff	State: C "s Department DOES NOT guarantee service s whether the service is completed or not. (C collections will be made to the name a	alifornia Government Code 26738)
Address: City: Special Instructions: The Sheriff The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees All communications, refunds and other states.	State: C "s Department DOES NOT guarantee service s whether the service is completed or not. (C collections will be made to the name a	alifornia Government Code 26738)
Address: City: Special Instructions: The Sheriff The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its feet All communications, refunds and of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting services.	State: C "s Department DOES NOT guarantee service s whether the service is completed or not. (C collections will be made to the name a ce	alifornia Government Code 26738) nd address listed below:

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 61 of 89

Request to Waive Additional Court Fees (Superior Court)	THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF
This form asks the court to waive additional court fees that are not covered in a current order. If you have not already received an order that waived or reduced your court fees, you must complete and file a Request to Waive Court Fees (Superior Court), form FW-001, along with this form. 1 Your Information (person asking the court to waive the fees): Name: Street or mailing address:	Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.
City: Vacav , Va State: Ca Zip: 95696	Fill in court name and street address:
Phone number: 2 Your lawyer, if you have one (name, firm or affiliation, address, phone number, and State Bar number):	Superior Court of California, County of Solano Old Solano Coorthouse 580 texts 55 fair Field Cu 94533
	Fill in case number and case name:
	Case Number:
 a. The lawyer has agreed to advance all or a portion of your fees or costs (check one): Yes No b. (If yes, your lawyer must sign here): 	Case Name: Dungnage V GMC, lokel, Viking etal
Lawyer's signature: If your lawyer is not providing legal-aid type services based on your low hearing to explain why you are asking the court to waive the fees. 3 Date your last court fee waiver order, if any, was granted:	income, you may have to go to a
Has your financial situation improved since your last Request to Waive Counmust fill out a new Request to Waive Court Fees, form FW-001, and attach is	t Fees? No Yes (If yes, you to this form.)
What other fees do you want your court fee waiver order to cover? (Check a a. User fees and expenses b. Court-appointed interpreter fees for a witness c. Fees for a peace officer to testify in court d. Reporter's daily fees (beyond 60-days after grant of a fee waive e. Fees for court-appointed experts f. Other (specify): Conflex Case	
	igetaon Concerning
Notice: The court may order you to answer questions about your finances and lat fees. If this happens and you do not pay, the court can make you pay the fees and is a change in your financial circumstances during this case that increases your abnotify the trial court within five days. (Use form FW-010.) If you win your case, to pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court with amount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the lien	also charge you collection fees. If there bility to pay fees and costs, you must the trial court may order the other side ll have a lien on the settlement in the
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California t. correct. Date: 6/17/15	hat the information above is true and
Print your name here Sign here	0 -

Judicial Council of California, www.courtinfo.ca.gov Revised July 1, 2009, Mandatory Form Government Code, § 68511.3 California Rules of Court Rule 3.51 Request to Waive Additional Court Fees (Superior Court)

FW-002, Page 1 of 1

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com 09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 62 of 89

TLE:	PLD-C-001(1)
•	OASE NUMBER.
MSMOR V GMC ETAL	
CAUSE OF ACTION—Breac	h of Contract
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
BC-1. Plaintiff (name): Dary Dursner	
alleges that on or about (date): ていつ 6 - てごりて	
a written oral other (specify):	
agreement was made between (name parties to agreement):	Cobel, Vilcing
A copy of the agreement is attached as Exhibit A, or	. ,
The essential terms of the agreement are stated	
That The Vehicul was or part and was safe and	void of occumentum shin
or part and was safe and	(laal
	,
BC-2. On or about (dates): 2006-2007 defendant breached the agreement by the acts specific (specify): by knowingly allowing the specific product known to	plaintiff to purchuse an
Performed beliefine ports an	d Stoles
·	
BC-3. Plaintiff has performed all obligations to defendant except those	obligations plaintiff was prevented or
excused from performing.	
BC-4. Plaintiff suffered damages legally (proximately) caused by defen as stated in Attachment BC-4 as follows (special contents).	ity): Scuere pursual injury em
Distress, Coss of proporty, Luthere	carring, wrongful convicti
BC-5. Plaintiff is entitled to attorney fees by an agreement or a	statute
of \$ according to proof.	
according to proof.	
BC-6. Other TREDIS Vanages	

Page _	
	Page 1 of 1

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California PLD-C-001(1) [Rev. January 1, 2007]

	PLD-PI-001(5)
RT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
DISSIPPRE V GMC ET A	
	Products Liability Page
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Compl (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
Plaintiff (name): Dary Tursucre	
Prod. L-1. On or about (date): Ucc 3 7007	plaintiff was injured by the following product: $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$
2001 SAVANA VAN SIE	
	f each defendant. The product at the time of injury nts. seeable by defendants as involving a substantial danger not
readily apparent. Adequate warnings of the d Prod. L-3. Plaintiff was a purchaser of the product. bystander to the use of the product.	user of the product. other (specify):
Prod. L- 4. Count One—Strict liability of the following of a. manufactured or assembled the pro	oduct (names): CMC CT AL
b. designed and manufactured compo	nent parts supplied to the manufacturer (names): 6 M (')
Does	to <u>100</u> ps): レンシェレ ミナ Al
c. sold the product to the public (name	is): Lobel ET Al
Does	to
Prod. L-5. Count Two—Negligence of the following de	efendants who owed a duty to plaintiff (names): V . K . ~ ~ ~
Does	to 100
Prod. L-6. Count Three—Breach of warranty by the fo	to 100 pollowing defendants (names): Lubel, V, \c;
a. Does	which was
Prod. L-7. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for a listed in Attachment-Prod. L-7 as	other reasons and the reasons for the liability are s follows: TREBIE Danies as Depular

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 64 of 89

	PLD-PI-001(1)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Dusnare V GMC, Lobel, Viking	
CAUSE OF ACTION—Motor Ve	ehicle
(number)	
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
Plaintiff (name): Dury Dury MV- 1. Plaintiff alleges the acts of defendants were negligent; the acts were the leg	and (provimate) course of injuries
and damages to plaintiff; the acts occurred	gai (proximate) cause of injuries
on (date): 2007 Dec 3	
at (place): East 5Th St National C	, ty CL 91950
	,
MV- 2. DEFENDANTS	
a. The defendants who operated a motor vehicle are (names):	
Does to	
b. The defendants who employed the persons who operated a motor	or vehicle in the course of their employment
are (names):	
Does to	
c. The defendants who owned the motor vehicle which was operate	d with their permission are (names): (いと)です。
Does to	\
d. The defendants who entrusted the motor vehicle are (names):	Viking stal
	·
Does to 100	adofondosta and natural 1911 at
e.	detendants and acted within the scope
Does to 100	
f. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and the listed in Attachment MV-2f as follows:	he reasons for the liability are
listed in Attachment MV-2f as follows:	Defendants knowingly allowed
ADepudent adult Per Ponal Con Stolen Defective product Resulti	AC 368 16 operate on
New David to Care Kesou	- it a wronger conviction
Does to	Page
	

Durmare v GMC 9+ AC

____ Cuss of Mation - Fraud

ハコートレ

Attachment to Complaint FR-1 Plaintiff Duryl Donsmore Alleges That Octobant GMC, Label, Viking, ET AL On or about 2006-2007 Octravded plaintiff as fallows

FR-7 & intertional or Noyligent Misropresentation a. Deludant Made Representation of Matorial fact as fallows that the Valical being Sold was legal, Safe Free From Delect or in Arthurize workmarsh.p

b. These representations were in fact false The Troth was as fallows

The Vah.cal was manufactured with Defective parts Stoler, and illegal with UN Authorized parts or workness ship performed on the preduct

C. When Defendant Mude These representation Bilderiat knew they were Salse or Bisterdant had No reasonable ground for believing The Representations were true

d. Deleviant Made the representations with The intent to debraced and induce plaint. If to act as Described in Item FR-5 At The time plaint. If acted plaint. If did not know the Representations were false out believed they were true Plaint. If acted in justifiable reliance upon the truth of the Representations

1 Dungmore v BMC, Word, Viking ST AL

Cause of Action - Grand

FR3 Da Concal ment

a. Defendant Concealed or Surpress Matural fasts as Sallows

Parts or UN Authorized workmarship

b. Ochenhant Concealed or surpressed Material Lacts

& Detendent was bound to Disclose

Plaint. It and prevent plaint. If from Discovering
The Concealed or Surpressed Sucts

C. Ochendant Concealed or surpressed These sucts with the intent to Detrand and indice plaintiff to act as Described in ITEVA FR-5 At The time Plaintiff acted plaintiff was unaware of the Concealed or surpressed facts and would not have taken the action if plaintiff had known the facts

Number Cause of Action - Fraud

FR-4 Promise without intent to Perform

A. Delendant Mude a promise about a Metherial Mether

with our any intention of Performing it FR4(a) as fallows

that the Vehical was safe from Defect, Part or

Pieer Workmash.p and Legal

b. Defendants promise without my inherture of Performagical was much with the notat to detraval and induce plaintiff to rely upon it and to act upon it as Described in Item fR-5 At the time plaintiff extend plaintiff was unaware of Defendants interture not to Perform the promise Plaintiff acted in Justificiable reliance upon the Promise

FR-5 Ed the Justifiable reliance you believed to act as fallows

Delective parts and in Authorized workmarship

FR-6 A Bécause the plaint. If reliance you totalent concluct Plaint. If has been transged as fullows wrong ful Conv. ct. on, lost Proporty, smotional Distress Personal injury, lost future sarnings

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Images PI-001(2) SHORT TITLE Certificate of Notice Pg 68 of 89 CASE NUMBER:
SHORT TITLE: CET MICHE OF NOTICE PY 00 01 09 CASE NUMBER:
CAUSE OF ACTION—General Negligence Page
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)
GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Dary (Duryno 4
alleges that defendant (name): らん() についてし、 しいんこう
Does \ to (O O
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant negligently caused the damage to plaintiff on (date): ליינים לייני
(description of reasons for liability):
nsured a Motor Vehical to the plaintiff that they should have known was manifectured with Defective Parts by Defendant GMC or that a Depicate title existed
inter the Vehical was possibly Stolen and un-
Authorized workmarship or Parts were nuclued and failed Report such issues to Authorities placing relaintiff at Risk of injury and Death or other liabilities
That resulted on Occ 3 2007 in The form of an accident and wrongful Conviction and That The accident and wrongful Conviction To a Dependent adult Defendant Caused person injury To a Dependent adult with in the meaning of Penal Code 368 and Continue with in the meaning of Penal Code 368 and Continue with in the meaning of Penal Code 368 and Continue
with in the Meaning of Prial Code 368 and Continue with in the Meaning of Prial Code 368 and Continue to Cover these facts and Cause Plaint. If to be held incorrected and wrongfully Convicted

Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 09-50026-mg Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 69 of 89 PLD-PI-001(3) CASE NUMBER SHORT TITLE: U GMC ET AL UUNSMOR CAUSE OF ACTION—Intentional Tort Page (number) ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Darry Dungmore alleges that defendant (name): GMC, Lobel, V.King CT Al _____ Does ______ to _______ was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant intentionally caused the damage to plaintiff

(description of reasons for liability):

on (date): Dec 3 2007 at (place): East 5Th ST

The Defendants willfully Manufactured or Sold knowingly Defective Vehiculs Manufactured with Defective Parts The Defendants Knew of Should have known the Vehicul had Defendants Knew of Should have known the Vehicul had Defendants further sold and Insueco title existed the Defendants further sold and Insueco the Vehicul knowing it was Stolen and possible Defective workmarship or Parts were involved upon such knowledg willfully Sought to Cover up such information and failed to centact authorities Resulting in Plaintiffs injuries and wrongful Conviction

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 I Certificate of Notice Pg 70 of 89	maged PLD-PI-001(6)
CASE MUIABER.	725
SHORT TITLE:	
V GMC STALL	
Exemplary Damages Attachment Page _	
Cross - Complaint	
All the second s	د/
EX-1. As additional damages against defendant (name):	
Plaintiff alleges defendant was guilty of	
Plaintiff alleges defendant the g	
fraud	
	nges
as defined in Civil Code section 3294, and plaintiff should recover, in document to make an example of and to punish defendant.	
EX-2. The facts supporting plaintiff's claim are as follows: The Defendats Knew	or Shoold
have known that The Vehical was Defective	and Tralife
v : [] Clective for 13 and	,
Stolen telective parts, Mechanical Problems	- A Evandulati
	•
Covered up Thuse facts or failed to Disclose	(100)
1 1 1 1 be with holding excellectory	LUCLACE
the plaint. If by with holding excelpatory to oppress The plaint. If and keep him ince	rceated and
to oppress The plantition	6
manage Conviced	
wrong iq	,
	,
\cdot	
	•
domanas sought is	
EX-3. The amount of exemplary damages sought is a not shown, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.10.	
b. = s TRable Duraye	
J	Page 1 ol.1

Page 1 ol.1

09-50026-mg, Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 71 of 89 AD6237 G-1-14

PO BOX 2000 Vacaville ca 95696 Pro Se

JUL 1 5 2015 Clerk of the Superior Court

COUNTY OF SOLAND County Of SOLAND Case Frankly IN THE SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALLFORNIA

GMC, LOBRE VIKING ETALL Defendants

Attachments in support of UNCIMITED CIVIL

Attachmut (A) Viking uswance policy ---- ! page Stachnut (b) Western General insurance Policy - ----- 1 Page (crrespondance with insurance - - - - 16 Pages Attachment (C)

1087

Sertificate of Notice Pg 72 of 89 Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 correspondence label financial - - - 1 Page Attachnut (c) Correspondence General Mostors Corp - - - 1 Page Attachnet (S) Claim estimate -Attachnuf (9) Value sleope number report ewil complaint ... - 14 Pages TRO Motion - - - order to Show Cause - letter to clerk. For Metron hearing Date - -Declaration in support of TRO - - - 1 Page

6/12/15 5/28/15 DAD - 09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged CM (Certificate of Notice Pg 73 of 89

Serv. ce Request #71-1475390686

RC! Correspondence May 14 2015

Darry (Dursmere 106237

G-2-224 Po Box 2000

Vacav.lle Ca 95696

Because of the Failure of My GMC Velical

I an inable to Correspond by telephone as I

have been wrongly Grunted behind The Mechanical

have of My Velical and an in prison Seeking

Exculpatory evidence supporting the Defective

Parts that My Velical was Manifestured with

I have been forced to file Civil litigation

and request that This correspondence be forwarded

to your legal Dept for processing of Discovery

and interogetaries

5/29/15

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:37 Imaged | | Certificate of Notice Pg 74 of 89

SUPERIC DOURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF 5 T DIEGO HON. THEODORE M. WEATHERS JUDGE DEPT. 41 5-9-08 AT 9:00 A DATE CASE # CS218128 CS215653 M042269 102411 MH# BBA96501 BBA01401 MISD PROS.# REPORTER RITA OTERO CSR# **GLORIA CARRILLO CLERK** REPORTER'S ADDRESS: PO BOX 120128, SAN DIEGO, CA 92112-0128 IN THE MATTER OF DAVID WILLIAMS, DDA & K. WESTFALL, DCA DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL/DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY DARRYL DUNSMORE SELENA EPLEY - PUB DEF AKA: DARRYL LEE DUNSMORE ATTORNEY (APPOINTED/RETAINED) SWORN/CERT LANGUAGE INTERPRETER TYPE OF PROCEEDING ☐ DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED PETITION (WI 6500) ☑ DETERMINATION OF MENTAL COMPETENCY (PC1368/1372) ☐ MOTION TO REMOVE FIREARM PROHIBITION (WI 8103) CONTINUED for further investigation forensic exam Dr.'s testimony OFF CALENDAR Defendant's presence waived based on Counsel/Medical representations. Jury trial requested for PC 1368 proceeding. is qualified; and doctor's presence waived. Counsel stipulate: report received into evidence; Dr. _DAVID NAIMARK sworn and examined. □ Dr(s). AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO PC 1368/1372/1385: Court finds defendant IS mentally competent to stand trial; orders that criminal proceedings are reinstated; remands Defendant to Superior Court, see Future Dates below. CUSTODY STATUS: Defendant REMANDED to custody of Sheriff. Court reinstates ball in amount of \$\subseteq\$ \$\subseteq\$ \$\subseteq\$. Ball \$ _ Bail \$ ____ Case# ____ ☐ Trailing Cases: Case# ☑ Court finds defendant IS NOT mentally competent to stand trial; orders defendant committed/returned to: ☑ Patton State Hospital ☐ County Jail, PSU ☐ Las Colinas, PSU ☐ Other Remainder of previously imposed term. For:

Maximum term of 3 ☐ Mos ☐ Yrs. Credit Time Served 56 days. Maximum sentence for most serious charge is <u>4</u> ☐ months ⊠ years. ⊠ Clerk to notify Registrar of Voters. Defendant consents to the administration of antipsychotic medication. Ocurt authorizes the treatment facility (to include San Diego County Jail's Psychiatric Security Units and Patton State Hospital) to involuntarily administer antipsychotic medication to the defendant when and as prescribed by the defendant's treating psychiatrist. (See Commitment Order) Court does not authorize the treatment facility to administer antipsychotic medication to the defendant involuntarily. Court orders criminal charges dismissed pursuant to PC 1370.2. Defendant RELEASED on Case(s) _____. AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO WI 6500: Court finds RESPONDENT has been a resident of the State of California for more than one year; is mentally retarded; and is a danger to self or others. Petition GRANTED. Court orders RESPONDENT placed, for a period of no longer than one year: in a BOARD AND CARE FACILITY ☐ with the STATE DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES ☐ in INDEPENDENT LIVING in an OPEN TREATMENT FACILITY which the court finds to be the least restrictive type of residential placement necessary to achieve the purposes of treatment; and which is proper and adequate for the protection and safety of others and the welfare of the respondent. ☐ Court finds RESPONDENT IS NOT within the scope of WI 6500, et seq. Petition DENIED. AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO WI 8103:
Motion DENIED. Motion GRANTED, firearm prohibition is removed.
DOJ notified. ⊠OTHER: Court orders deft. to be seen by appropriate dr's. in jail fo rhis medical cond as stated by Dr. S. Hennigan dated 05-01-08. SDSO specifically ordered to address any and all orthotic needs. The Court suspends crim. procdings on M042269. **FUTURE DATES:** in Dept. Continued for reasons noted above to ___ Forensic examination in Room 1003 on ___ SHERIFF ORDERED TO PRODUCE DEFENDANT Case(s) set in Central North County East County South County Division for: Preliminary Exam on _____ Readiness on ____ at ___ in Dept. _ on ___ in Dept. ___ in Dept. Further Proceedings on _ at OTHER: , Deputy Clerk CLERK, by g. carrillo Dated: 05-09-08 Attest a true copy.

SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention.
Print Name: Dary Dunner Bkg #: 9701989 Housing Unit: (C DOB: 7/12/67
Medical Services
Reason for request for health services: Another Bridge Broke on other side making it difficult to cat
I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary.
I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee.
Signed: Date: Date: Participate: Date:
SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION
(This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Authorized signature ID# Date: Charge posted by Date
If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$\int \\$3.00 \text{\$\text{S}}
SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Sean G 3 1 2009
 NOT SEEN DUE TO: ☐ Court ☐ Visit ☐ Released ☐ No Show/Refused ☐ Other ☐ Rescheduled
"S"ubjective Chief Complaint:
"O"bjective: 100 at Schill of the Sto
"A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis:
"P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP MD RN DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other
PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:
SIGNATURE: ID#
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDI MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST Patient's Name:
D.O.B:
Form J212 Rev 11/01 Booking Number Date (MM-DD-YY)

CECTION 1. Complete distriction and an annual state of the state of th		
SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention.		
Print Name: Darry C Dunsmorth 4701989 Housing Unit: 6 C DOB: 1/17/6		
Medical Services		
Reason for request for health services: IN Pain Need Tosth Removed		
I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary.		
I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee.		
Signed:		
SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION		
(This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Authorized signature ID# Date: Charge posted by Date		
If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$3.00 \$\square\$\$		
SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen:		
1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs.		
"S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds you are on should over your dental pain		
"O"bjective: until seen by melical/dental.		
"A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: RNL895		
"P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP		
PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:		
SIGNATURE: ID#		
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF		
MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST Patient's Name:		
D.O.B:		
Form J212 Rev 11/01 Booking Number Date (MM-DD-YY)		

	ered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged 77 of 89 _{FOR COURT USE ONLY}
ERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO DUNTY COURTHOUSE, 220 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3814 DEC COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081-6695 AS DOUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020-3941 DUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910-5649	MICHAEL M. RODDY Clerk of the Superior Court January 26, 2009
PLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF. VS.	By, Deputy
RYL DUNSMORE V: DARRYL LEE DUNSMORE DEFENDANT	MH MH 102411
ORDER FINDING DEFENDANT MENTALLY COMPETENT (PC 1370)	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER MO 422 A CY CS 218128 01; CS 215653 01 BBA96501; BBA01401
ne defendant having been charged with the crime(s) of Charge [Allegation] {Priors	
ne defendant having been charged with the crime(s) of Charge [Allegation] (Priors 2 [PC12022.1(b)]; PC273.6(a) (PC667(a)(1)); PC245(a)(1) [PC1192.7(c)(23); PC403.1003.1003.1003.1003.1003.1003.1003.1	,12022.1(a)] {1 0 1200(c)(1); 1 0 0 0 10 (1);

37(a)(1),668; PC667(b)-(i)/1170.12); PC273.6(a); PC422; PC653m(a) loubt having arisen as to the defendant's mental competency during the pendency of the proceedings, and the adant having been certified to the Superior Court for a determination of the question; the matter having come before the t this date, therefore.

After examination and hearing, consideration of testimony and written reports of the examiners, the Court finds the ndant mentally competent.

*DERED that the defendant be remanded to the San Diego Superior Court, South County Division, the court in which ina charges are pending.

ring type READINESS CONFERENCE on 1-29-09 at 8:30AM. in Department 16.

itional Hearing: Hearing type PRELIMINARY HEARING on 2-4-09 at 8:30AM. in Department 16.

3 FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of San Diego County deliver the defendant to said hearings.

le: January 26, 2009

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

HONORABLE FREDERICK MAGUIRE

The foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original on tile in this office.

Judge of the

MICHAEL M. RODDY

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Superior Court

EPLEY, SELENA rill (2) 1(2)

Date: May 09, 2008

'atton

UPCT MH-23(Rev. 3-06)

The foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

MICHAEL M. RODDY CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

\tty: EPLEY, SELENA 3heriff (2))eft)up(2)

SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention.		
Print Name: Darry Dunsmore Bkg #: 9701989 Housing Unit: 60 DOB: 9/12/67		
Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other Dental Services DDF SBDF		
Reason for request for health services: For Orale with Tool of the		
I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary.		
I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee.		
Signed:		
SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION		
(This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: Yes No		
Authorized signature ID# Date: Charge posted by Date		
If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$\(\sigma \) \$3.00 \$\square\$		
SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen:		
1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs.		
"S"ubjective Chief Complaint:		
"O"bjective:		
"A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis:		
"P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP CCHEDULED FOR SICK CALL WITH: MD NP DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist		
Other PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:		
SIGNATURE: ID# 3575		
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF Patient's Name:		
Form J212 Rev 11/01 D.O.B: Date (VM-DD-YY)		

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Certificate of Notice Pg 80 of 89

SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DETENTION FACILITIES

Imaged	
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	

INMATE REQUEST (PETICION DEL REO)
SECTION I Complete the following information: (Llene la siguiente información)
Facility: CDF DDF EMDF GBDF LCDF SBDF VDF (Carcel) Name:
(Nombre) Booking #: 9701984 Date of Birth: 91267 (Número) Housing Unit: 66 (Locación)
SECTION II Refer to instructions on the back of this form. Select one of the following: Refierase a las instrucciones al revez de esta forma. Seleccione uno de los siguientes.
I have a Request for the following: (Tengo una Petición a lo siguiente):
Need Address to where Sheriff Kullender, Bill Gore Recieve Mail
Thank You
Signature: Date and Time: 7/23/09/3PA (Firma) (Fecha y hora)
SECTION III RESPONSE BY DETENTION FACILITY STAFF ONLY
Forwarded to: Date: Time:
9621 Ridgehaven CT.
San Ligo CA 92123-2222

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF THIS FORM

REQUESTS

You may use this form when you have a request that has not been satisfied by speaking with the housing deputy.

INSTRUCCIONES PARA EL USO DE ESTA FORMA

1. PETICIONES

Usted puede usar esta forma cuando tenga algún próblema o petición que no fué resuelta por el Deputy de Piso.

JOBS POROU



Detention Services - All Sheriff Facilities Inmate Grievance Report San Diego County Sheriff

Run Date: 22-DEC-2009

JIMS - E000008

Grievance # 94002062

Grievance Dt: 18-DEC-09 Booknum: 9701989 DUNSMORE, DARRYL

Subject3: Subject2:

Subject4: Hu: B Location: SDCJ 7B Area: 7

Action Dt: 18-DEC-09 Action Ofcr Name: LATIMER #94024402

I gave the inmate the hearing Action Taken: I asked Sgt Storton to print up the hearing report from Sgt. Zucker. report and explained the situation to the inmate.

Narrative Text:

Inmate believes he should be released at 0230 hours, instead of the hearing report time of 2300 on 12-18-09 Summary: Inmate grievance is complaining about the time he is released from disciplinary isolation/lockdown status. Grievance Num: 94002062 Run Time: 07:42 Subject1: OTHR Fac: 1

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 83 of 89

United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York

In re: Motors Liquidation Company Debtor

Case No. 09-50026-reg Chapter 11

TOTALS: 1, * 0, ## 0

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0208-1 User: brichards Page 1 of 7 Date Rcvd: Oct 22, 2015

Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 1

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Oct 24, 2015.

+Dave Delaney's Columbia Buick-Pontiac-GMC Company,, 5975253 Thomas H. Curran, Hinckley, Allen & Snyder, 28 State Street, Boston, MA 02109-1776

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center. NONE. TOTAL: 0

***** BYPASSED RECIPIENTS (undeliverable, * duplicate) ***** Darryl Dunsmore unk

Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP or replacing an incorrect ZIP.

USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner

shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 9): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Oct 24, 2015 Signature: /s/Joseph Speetjens

CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on October 19, 2015 at the address(es) listed below:

ALEJANDRO ALERS, JR on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC alalersir@att.net Abigail M. Stempson on behalf of Interested Party State of Nebraska, Attorney General's Office jalyn.wurm@nebraska.gov

Adam J. Levitt, on behalf of Plaintiff John Morgenstein

tbibby@gelaw.com;cnevers@gelaw.com;jtangren@gelaw.com

Alan R. Brayton on behalf of Attorney Brayton Purcell LLP bankruptcy@braytonlaw.com Alexander H. Schmidt on behalf of Interested Party ABC Flooring, Inc. schmidt@whafh.com Andrea Sheehan on behalf of Creditor Carrollton Farmers Branch Independent School District sheehan@txschoollaw.com, coston@txschoollaw.com

Andrew C. Kassner on behalf of Creditor Automotive Component Carriers LLC andrew.kassner@dbr.com

Andrew P. Propps on behalf of Interested Party Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, N.A., as agent for the TPC Lenders apropps@sidley.com, emcdonnell@sidley.com

Angela Ferrante on behalf of Claims and Noticing Agent GCG, LLC

PACERTeam@gardencitygroup.com, debra.wolther@gcginc.com

Aric Wu on behalf of Trustee Wilmington Trust Company awu@gibsondunn.com,

GGillett@gibsondunn.com

Arthur Jay Steinberg on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC asteinberg@kslaw.com, sdavidson@kslaw.com; jasher@kslaw.com

Austin L. McMullen on behalf of Creditor Barbara S Mehlsack on behalf of Creditor Knowledge Learning Corporation amcmullen@babc.com International Union of Operating Engineers ("IUOE")

and IUOE Locals 101, 18s, 832s bmehlsack@gkllaw.com
Barry A. Weprin on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky bweprin@milberg.com
Barry M. Kazan on behalf of Creditor Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.

Barry.Kazan@ThompsonHine.com, Docket@thompsonhine.com

Barry M. Lasky on behalf of Unknown Atlas Technologies, Inc. BMLPC@aol.com
Barry N. Seidel on behalf of Plaintiff Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust

on behalf of Plaintiff Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust

 $\verb|seidelb@dicksteinshapiro.com|, | nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com|$

Benjamin Rosenblum on behalf of Defendant The Ad Hoc Group of Term Lenders

brosenblum@jonesday.com

Benjamin P. Deutsch on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc Committee of Consumer Victims of General Motors bdeutsch@schnader.com

Brendan M. Scott on behalf of Unknown MLC Asbestos PI Trust bscott@klestadt.com Bruce R. Zirinsky on behalf of Defendant DbX Risk Arbitrage 1 Fund, Lyxor/Pauls

on behalf of Defendant DbX Risk Arbitrage 1 Fund, Lyxor/Paulson International Fund Limited, Paulson Enhanced Ltd., Paulson International Ltd., Paulson Partners

Enhanced, L.P., and Paulson Partners L.P. zirinskyb@gtlaw.com Bruce R. Zirinsky on behalf of Defendant Drawbridge DSO Securities LLC zirinskyb@gtlaw.com Bruce W. Hoover on behalf of Interested Party The Quaker Oats Company

bhoover@goldbergsegalla.com, jsymack@goldbergsegalla.com;rbraden@goldbergsegalla.com Carol A. Felicetta on behalf of Creditor Barnes Group Inc. cfelicetta@reidandriege.com Carol E. Momjian on behalf of Creditor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Revenue cmomjian@attorneygeneral.gov

Christopher K. Kiplok on behalf of Unknown Medianews Group, Inc. kiplok@hugheshubbard.com

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 84 of 89

District/off: 0208-1 User: brichards Page 2 of 7 Date Royd: Oct 22, 2015 Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 1 The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued) on behalf of Creditor Hagemeyer, N.A. cynthialowery@mvalaw.com Cynthia Jordan Lowery Daniel Edelson on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky daniel.edelson@kattenlaw.com
Daniel J Hornal on behalf of Unknown Celestine Elliott daniel@taloslaw.com, peller@law.georgetown.edu Daniel L. Keller on behalf of Unknown Keller, Fishback & Jackson LLP dkeller@kflegal.com Daniel W. Linna, Jr. on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC dlinna@honigman.com David Molton on behalf of Unknown The People of the State of California, acting by and General Motors LLC dlinna@honigman.com through Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas hsteel@brownrudnick.com;acarty@brownrudnick.com;MJackson@brownrudnick.com;acunningham@brownrudnic k.com David A. Rosenzweig on behalf of Attorney Fulbright & Jaworski LLP david.rosenzweig@nortonrosefulbright.com David B. Owens on behalf of Unknown Roger Dean Gillispie david@loevy.com, melinda@loevy.com;blake@loevy.com David B. Wheeler on behalf of Creditor Hagemeyer, N.A. davidwheeler@mvalaw.com
David G. Aelvoet on behalf of Creditor Bexar County sanantonio.bankruptcy@publicans.com David G. Ebert on behalf of Unknown Shepardson Stern & Kaminsky, LLC (SS&K) debert@ingramllp.com, mtajika@ingramllp.com David Henry Hartheimer on behalf of Interested Party Clarcor, Inc. dhartheimer@wilkauslander.com David J Cohen on behalf of Unknown Karen Bloom dcohen@kolmanlaw.net, dcohenlaw@comcast.net David N. Crapo on behalf of Interested Party J.D. Power and Associates dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com David R. Berz on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company gregory.bailey@weil.com David S. Jones on behalf of Defendant United States Department of Treasury david.jones6@usdoj.gov Dawn R. Copley on behalf of Creditor Johnson Controls, Inc. dcopley@dickinsonwright.com, dnavin@dickinsonwright.com Deborah L. Fish on behalf of Creditor Overhead Conveyor Company dfish@allardfishpc.com Debra A. Kowich on behalf of Creditor Board of Regents of The University of Michigan dkowich@umich.edu Denis Dice on behalf of Defendant DE-SEI Institutional Investment Trust - High Yield Bond Fund dcdice@mdwcg.com Dennis J. Connolly on behalf of Interested Party Autoliv ASP, Inc. dconnolly@alston.com on behalf of Unknown Aspen Insurance UK Limited ddrebsky@nixonpeabody.com, Dennis J. Drebsky nyc.managing.clerk@nixonpeabody.com;apabon@nixonpeabody.com;cfong@nixonpeabody.com Dennis Jay Raterink on behalf of Creditor Michigan Funds Administration raterinkd@michigan.gov, bannisters@michigan.gov Dianna Lyons on behalf of Interested Party Ka Kazan McClain Asbestos Claimants dlyons@kazanlaw.com Dominic J. Picca on behalf of Unknown Dale Earnhardt, Inc. dpicca@mintz.com, Docketing@mintz.com Donald F. Baty, Jr. on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company dbaty@honigman.com
Douglas B. Rosner on behalf of Creditor 767 Fifth Partners LLC drosner@goulstonstorrs.com Douglas Gregory Blankinship on behalf of Creditor Lisa Phaneuf gblankinship@fbfglaw.com Eamonn O'Hagan on behalf of Attorney Hilliard Munoz Gonzales LLP and Thomas J. Henry Injury Attorney eohagan@goodwinprocter.com Edward Smith on behalf of Creditor Camino Real Chevrolet, Inc. easmith@venable.com, NYBankruptcyDocketing@venable.com Edward A. Friedman on behalf of Defendant Aurelius Investment LLC efriedman@fklaw.com, vgarvey@fklaw.com; jshaw@fklaw.com Edward F. Haber on behalf of Defendant Reams City of Montgomery Alabama Employees Retirement System ehaber@shulaw.com, filing@shulaw.com;mblauner@shulaw.com;pvallely@shulaw.com Elihu Inselbuch on behalf of Attorney Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered eb@capdale.com
Elizabeth Weller on behalf of Creditor Cameron County dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com, evelyn.palmer@lgbs.com Elizabeth K. Flaagan on behalf of Creditor Oxbow Carbon & Minerals LLC $\verb|elizabeth.flaagan@faegrebd.com|, carol.wildt@faegrebd.com|, brad.dempsey@faegrebd.com| \\$ Elliot Moskowitz on behalf of Defendant Arrowgrass Master Fund Ltd elliot.moskowitz@dpw.com, ecf.ct.papers@davispolk.com Emil A. Kleinhaus on behalf of Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. eakleinhaus@wlrk.com, calert@wlrk.com Eric Fisher on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of General Motors Corporation fishere@dicksteinshapiro.com, nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com Eric Fisher on behalf of Plaintiff Motors Liquidation Company Avoidance Action Trust fishere@dicksteinshapiro.com, nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com
Eric A. Goldberg on behalf of Unknown Seneca Insurance Company, Inc. eg@kahngoldberg.com
Eric Alwin Boden on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc Committee of Consumer Victims of General Motors tclancy@schnader.com Eugene J. Chikowski on behalf of Interested Party American Express Travel Related Services Inc. eugene.chikowski@flastergreenberg.com Frank McGinn on behalf of Creditor Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc. ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com Frank W. DiCastri on behalf of Creditor Webasto Roof Systems Inc. fdicastri@foley.com Frederick Perillo on behalf of Creditor International Ass'n of Machinists & Aerospace Workers (IAMAW) fp@previant.com

Gary Peller on behalf of Attorney Gary Peller peller@law.georgetown.edu
Gary Ticoll on behalf of Defendant Drawbridge DSO Securities LLC ticollg@gtlaw.com

```
District/off: 0208-1
                                     User: brichards
                                                                        Page 3 of 7
                                                                                                           Date Rcvd: Oct 22, 2015
                                     Form ID: pdf001
                                                                        Total Noticed: 1
The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email)
system (continued)
                 German Yusufov on behalf of Creditor PIMA COUNTY pcaocvbk@pcao.pima.gov
                 Gerrit M. Pronske on behalf of Plaintiff Boyd Bryant gpronske@pronskepatel.com
Gordon J. Toering on behalf of Defendant Alticor Inc gtoering@wnj.com
                 Gregory Oxford on behalf of Counter-Claimant General Motors Company goxford@icclawfirm.com Gregory K. Arenson on behalf of Unknown Kimi L. Hurst garenson@kaplanfox.com
                 Gregory W. Fox on behalf of Attorney
                                                                   Hilliard Munoz Gonzales LLP and Thomas J. Henry Injury
                  Attorney qfox@qoodwinprocter.com
                                                on behalf of Unknown Patrice Witherspoon sdabney@kslaw.com,
                 H. Slayton Dabney, Jr.
                  rtrowbridge@kslaw.com
                 Hanh V. Huynh on behalf of Transferee Kayson 48 Corp. hhuynh@herrick.com,
                  courtnotices@herrick.com
                 Harold S. Novikoff on behalf of Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. hsnovikoff@wlrk.com,
                  calert@wlrk.com
                 Harry A. Light on behalf of Defendant General Motors Corporation light@fec.net
                 Harvey A. Strickon on behalf of Unknown Rolls-Royce plc and Rolls-Royce Corporation
                  harveystrickon@paulhastings.com
                 Harvey R. Miller on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company garrett.fail@weil.com
                 Heather M. Crockett on behalf of Defendant
                                                                           State of Indiana Major Moves
                  heather.crockett@atg.in.gov
                 Henry A. Efroymson on behalf of Creditor Honeywell International Inc.
                  henry.efroymson@icemiller.com
                 J Eric Charlton on behalf of Creditor Autoport Limited echarlton@barclaydamon.com,
                  rjones@barclaydamon.com
                 J. Alex Kress on behalf of Creditor KONE, Inc. and KONE Elevators akress@becker.legal,
                  jalexkress@gmail.com;maferrentino@becker.legal
                 J. Casey Roy on behalf of Interested Party The State of Texas on Behalf of Department of Transportation, Motor Vehicle Division casey.roy@oag.state.tx.us Jacob F. Lamme on behalf of Creditor St. Regis Mohawk Tribe lamme@mltw.com James B. Helmer, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Roger L Sanders jhelmer@fcalawfirm
                                                                           The State of Texas on Behalf of The Texas
                                            on behalf of Creditor Roger L Sanders jhelmer@fcalawfirm.com,
                  wdiggs@fcalawfirm.com
                 James Christopher Caldwell
                                                     on behalf of Creditor
                                                                                  Satterlund Supply Company
                  ccaldwell@starkreagan.com
                 James D. Newbold on behalf of Interested Party State of Illinois James.Newbold@illinois.gov
                 James E. DeLine on behalf of Creditor AVL Americas, Inc. jed@krwlaw.com, pal@krwlaw.com

James E. Hough on behalf of Defendant Citigroup Global Markets Inc. jhough@mofo.com

James M. Martin on behalf of Unknown Frank L. Pugh mmllaw@swbell.net
                 James Michael Lawniczak on behalf of Creditor Carolina Forge Company jlawniczak@calfee.com
Jan I. Berlage on behalf of Creditor Harold Martin JBerlage@GHSLLP.com
                                     on behalf of Unknown State of Arizona ex rel. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney
                 Jason A. Zweig
                  General jzweig@kaplanfox.com
                 Jayson B. Ruff on behalf of Creditor Swagelok Company jruff@mcdonaldhopkins.com
                 Jeanette M. Gilbert on behalf of Creditor L.C. Jackson jgilbert@motleyrice.com Jeff Klusmeier on behalf of Creditor State of Missouri jeff.klusmeier@ago.mo.gov,
                  Michelle.Hirschvogel@ago.mo.gov
                 Jeffrey Rhodes on behalf of Unknown Motors Liquidation Company Avoidance Action Trust
                  bankruptcy-jr@dsmo.com; canavanp@dicksteinshapiro.com
                 Jeffrey C. Wisler on behalf of Unknown Connecticut General Life Insurance Company and related
                  CIGNA entities jwisler@connollygallagher.com
                 Jeffrey J. Jones on behalf of Defendant General Motors LLC jjjones@jonesday.com
Jeffrey S. Sabin on behalf of Interested Party Deutsche Bank AG JSSabin@Venable.com
Jeffrey S. Stein on behalf of Claims and Noticing Agent Garden City Group, Inc
                  PACERTeam@gardencitygroup.com, michelle.murphy@gcginc.com
                 Jeffrey S. Stein on behalf of Claims and Noticing Agent PACERTeam@gardencitygroup.com, michelle.murphy@gcginc.com
                                                                                           GCG, Inc
                 Jeffrey T. Wegner on behalf of Creditor Kansas City Board of Public Utilities
                  jeffrey.wegner@kutakrock.com, marybeth.brukner@kutakrock.com
                 Jennifer Lauren Saffer on behalf of Creditor jlsaffer@jlsaffer.com, vjohnson@jlsaffer.com
                                                                              TMI Custom Air Systems, Inc.
                 Joan M Blackwell on behalf of Defendant State of Indiana Major Moves joan.blackwell@atg.in.gov
                 Joel Wertman on behalf of Defendant DE-SEI Institutional Investment Trust - High Yield Bond
                  Fund jmwertman@mdwcg.com
                 John A. Simon on behalf of Creditor Cooper-Standard Automotive, Inc. jsimon@foley.com
                                                                      Emigrant Business Credit Corp. jcarberry@cl-law.com
Avery Dennison jkostelnik@frantzward.com
                 John F. Carberry on behalf of Creditor
John F. Kostelnik on behalf of Unknown
                 John J. Privitera
                                         on behalf of Creditor
                                                                       St. Regis Mohawk Tribe privitera@mltw.com,
                  hill@mltw.com;lamme@mltw.com
                 John M. Callagy on behalf of Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. jcallagy@kelleydrye.com John P. Dillman on behalf of Creditor Angelina County houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com
                                                                     Angelina County houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com
                 John T. Banks on behalf of Unknown Hidalgo County jbanks@pbfcm.com, jbanks@ecf.inforuptcy.com
John T. Gregg on behalf of Creditor Continental Tire North America, Inc. jgregg@btlaw.com
                 Jonathan Bradley Alter on behalf of Unknown Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America
                  jonathan.alter@bingham.com
                 Jonathan I. Rabinowitz on behalf of Attorney Jonathan I. Rabinowitz jrabinowitz@rltlawfirm.com,
                  ypalmeri@rltlawfirm.com
```

Joon P. Hong on behalf of Attorney Richards Kibbe & Orbe LLP joonhong@chapman.com

ABC Flooring, Inc. jflaxer@golenbock.com,

Jonathan L. Flaxer on behalf of Interested Party

eneuman@golenbock.com; mweinstein@golenbock.com

District/off: 0208-1 User: brichards Page 4 of 7 Date Royd: Oct 22, 2015 Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 1 The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued) Joseph A. Dworetzky on behalf of Plaintiff NCR Corporation jad@hangley.com Joseph B. Koczko on behalf of Unknown Linden Development, LLC joseph.koczko@thompsonhine.com Joseph H. Lemkin on behalf of Defendant Alticor Inc joseph.lemkin@gmail.com Joseph H. Smolinsky on behalf of Defendant Motors Liquidation Company Joseph.Smolinsky@weil.com, matthew.goren@weil.com;katherine.doorley@weil.com;mario.ventura@weil.com Joseph M. Cerra on behalf of Creditor Affiliated Computer Services of Spain SL jcerra@formanlaw.com Joseph N. Cordaro on behalf of Unknown United States Of America joseph.cordaro@usdoj.gov Joseph R. Sgroi on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company jsgroi@honigman.com Joshua Paul Davis on behalf of Unknown Dori Powledge josh@thejdfirm.com, maria@thejdfirm.com; kelly@thejdfirm.com Judy B. Calton on behalf of Attorney Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP jcalton@honigman.com Julianne Cutruzzula Beil on behalf of Unknown Carolyn Rickard jbeil@cnlawfirm.net
Justin S. Brooks on behalf of Unknown Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., Baron & Budd, P.C. and the Cooper Law Firm as counsel for certain class action cases jbrooks@gelaw.com Karon Y. Wright on behalf of Creditor c/o Karon Y. Wright Travis County karon.wright@co.travis.tx.us, bkecf@co.travis.tx.us Kathleen H. Klaus on behalf of Unknown Mtech Associates, LLC khk@maddinhauser.com Ken Kansa on behalf of Unknown Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, N.A., as Agent to the TPC Lenders kkansa@sidley.com Kenneth Ziman on behalf of Creditor TPI Incorporated kziman@stblaw.com Kenneth C Anthony, Jr. on behalf of Unknown Kenneth Anthony kanthony@anthonylaw.com, janthony@anthonylaw.com Kevin Blaney on behalf of Attorney Kevin Blaney kblaney@kevinblaney.com, bmorehead@kevinblaney.com Kim Martin Lewis on behalf of Interested Party Convergys Corporation kim.lewis@dinslaw.com, john.persiani@dinslaw.com;lisa.geeding@dinslaw.com;patrick.burns@dinslaw.com Kimberly Salomon on behalf of Creditor Rose Cole ksalomon@formanlaw.com Kirk L. Brett on behalf of Defendant Credit Suisse AG kbrett@dsllp.com Larry A. Levick on behalf of Creditor Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. levick@singerlevick.com, croote@singerlevick.com;scotton@singerlevick.com Larry E. Parres on behalf of Unknown Leggett and Platt lparres@lewisrice.com

Lauren Beslow on behalf of Creditor United Parcel Service, Inc. Lauren.Beslow@quarles.com

Lawrence P. Eagel on behalf of Unknown County of Bastrop, et al. eagel@bragarwexler.com

Leonora K. Baughman on behalf of Creditor City of Detroit ecf@kaalaw.com

Leslie Levy on behalf of Interested Party State of Nebraska, Attorney General's Office leora.platte@nebraska.gov Lisa H. Rubin on behalf of Trustee Wilmington Trust Company lrubin@gibsondunn.com, MAO@gibsondunn.com on behalf of Defendant Lorraine S. McGowen Banca di Credito Cooperativo di Roma Societa Cooperativa lmcgowen@orrick.com, dfelder@orrick.com Lynn M. Brimer on behalf of Creditor Pioneer Steel Corporation lbrimer@stroblpc.com
Marc B. Merklin on behalf of Creditor FirstEnergy Corporation mmerklin@brouse.com Marc H. Edelson on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky medelson@edelson-law.com Marc N. Swanson on behalf of Creditor Kongsberg Automotive Holdings ASA swansonm@millercanfield.com Margreta Morgulas, on behalf of Creditor West Covina Motors, Inc. mmorgulas@okinhollander.com Maria A. Bove on behalf of Unknown Certain Class Action Plaintiffs mbove@pszjlaw.com, dharris@pszjlaw.com;mbove@pszjlaw.com Maria Elena Douvas on behalf of Defendant Appaloosa Investment Limited Partnership I mariadouvas@paulhastings.com Marianne Goldstein Robbins on behalf of Creditor International Ass'n of Machinists & Aerospace Workers (IAMAW) MGR@PREVIANT.COM, MGR@PREVIANT.COM iEM@PREVIANT.COM Maricel E.V. Skiles on behalf of Defendant State of Indiana Major Moves maricel.skiles@atg.in.gov, Heather.Crockett@atg.in.gov;Stephanie.Patrick@atg.in.gov
Mark Schlachet on behalf of Plaintiff Alante Carpenter individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated mschlachet@gmail.comMark E. McKane on behalf of Plaintiff New United Motors Manufacturing, Inc. mmckane@kirkland.com, beth.friedman@kirkland.com;sarah.farley@kirkland.com Mark L. Brown on behalf of Counter-Defendant LakinChapman LLC markb@slchapman.com
Mark P. Robinson, Jr. on behalf of Unknown The People of the State of California, acting by and through Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas mrobinson@rcrlaw.net,

Mark T. Power on behalf of Defendant Bechtel Trust & Thrift Plan MPower@HahnHessen.com, jcerbone@hahnhessen.com;jzawadzki@hahnhessen.com;jorbach@hahnhessen.com;sthompson@hahnhessen.com;aladd@hahnhessen.com;chunker@hahnhessen.com
Marshall C. Turner on behalf of Creditor Newport Television marshall.turner@husch.com
Martin Krolewski on behalf of Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. mkrolewski@kelleydrye.com,

Mark S. Frankel on behalf of Creditor Commercial Contracting Corporation mfrankel@couzens.com

Hirata Corporation of America mowens@btlaw.com,

docketing@kelleydrye.com;BankruptcyCourt@KelleyDrye.com
Martin James Weis on behalf of Creditor Medco Health Solutions, Inc. weismj@dilworthlaw.com
Marvin E. Clements, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Tennessee Board of Regents-Columbia State

Community College agbanknewyork@ag.tn.gov
Mary Kay Shaver on behalf of Creditor ADAC Plastics, Inc. mkshaver@varnumlaw.com

beachlawyer51@hotmail.com

Mark Russell Owens on behalf of Creditor

mowens@btlaw.com;bankruptcyindy@btlaw.com

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 87 of 89

District/off: 0208-1 User: brichards Page 5 of 7 Date Rcvd: Oct 22, 2015

Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 1 The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued) Matthew Williams on behalf of Unknown Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust mjwilliams@gibsondunn.com, sweiner@qibsondunn.com;akeats@qibsondunn.com;amoskowitz@qibsondunn.com;DFeldman@qibsondunn.com Matthew A. Hamermesh on behalf of Creditor NCR Corporation mhamermesh@hangley.com
Matthew E. Wright on behalf of Unknown Dolly Walton mwright@hmdlawl.com, tscott@hmdlawl.com
Matthew F. Kye on behalf of Creditor Heard Robins Cloud & Black LLP mkye@magnozzikye.com Matthew J. Riopelle on behalf of Plaintiff Toyota Motor Corporation mriopelle@foley.com Matthew K. Beatman on behalf of Unknown Westfalia-Automotive GMBH mbeatman@zeislaw.com Maureen F. Leary on behalf of Unknown New York State Department of Environmental Conservation maureen.leary@oag.state.ny.us Melissa Z. Neier on behalf of Interested Party Sonic Automotive, Inc. mneier@ibolaw.com Michael A. Maricco on behalf of Creditor Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation efile@pbgc.gov
Michael A. Nedelman on behalf of Creditor Crown Enterprises Inc. mnedelman@nglegal.com
Michael C. Lambert on behalf of Creditor Compania Sud Americana de Vapores S.A. mclambert@lawpost-nyc.com Michael E. Norton on behalf of Creditor SSDC Services Corp. mnorton@nortonlawassociates.com
Michael G. Cruse on behalf of Creditor Auma S.A. de C.V. mcruse@wnj.com, hziegler@wnj.com
Michael J. Pendell on behalf of Unknown Plaintiffs mpendell@motleyrice.com Michael James Edelman on behalf of Creditor Export Development Canada mjedelman@vedderprice.com, ecfnydocket@vedderprice.com Michael K. Cross on behalf of Defendant Green Hunt Wedlake, Inc., as trustee for General Motors Nova Scotia Finance Company mcross@akingump.com Michael M. Krauss on behalf of Defendant Indiana University michael.krauss@faegrebd.com
Michael R. Enright on behalf of Creditor Carrier Corporation menright@rc.com
Michael R. Wernette on behalf of Attorney CIE Celaya, S.A. de C.V. mwernette@schaferandweiner.com Michael S. Davis on behalf of Unknown American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. and other entities related to Chartis, Inc. mdavis@zeklaw.com, mmccarthy@zeklaw.com;rguttmann@zeklaw.com;mmillnamow@zeklaw.com Michael S. Etkin on behalf of Interested Party Plaintiff and Putative Class re: Peggy Sue Jones, et al. v. General Motors, LLC and Larry Darby, et al. v. General Motors, LLC and Delphi Automotive PLLC metkin@lowenstein.com, mseymour@lowenstein.com Michael S. Holmes on behalf of Creditor River Oaks L-M, Inc. dba Westpoint mshpclaw@gmail.com, mshatty@yahoo.com Michael T. Conway on behalf of Creditor Detroit Diesel Corporation michael.conway@leclairryan.com Michelle Goldis on behalf of Unknown Lowe's Companies, Inc. michelle.goldis@wilmerhale.com Michelle T. Sutter on behalf of Creditor Ohio Attorney General msutter@ag.state.oh.us N. Kathleen Strickland on behalf of Creditor Remy International, Inc. kstrickland@rmkb.com Nan E. Joesten on behalf of Interested Party General Motors Retiree Association njoesten@fbm.com Neil Andrew Goteiner on behalf of Interested Party General Motors Retiree Association ngoteiner@fbm.com, calendar@fbm.com;karentsen@fbm.com Nicholas Heath Wooten on behalf of Unknown Dianne Ashworth nick@nickwooten.com, notices@nickwooten.com;linnea@nickwooten.com Oren Giskan on behalf of Plaintiff Rodolfo Fidel Mendoza ogiskan@gslawny.com Oscar B. Fears, III on behalf of Creditor Georgia Department of Revenue bfears@law.ga.gov Oscar N. Pinkas on behalf of Defendant Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce oscar.pinkas@dentons.com P. Warren Hunt on behalf of Creditor AVL Americas, Inc. pwh@krwlaw.com Patrick E. Mears on behalf of Creditor M-Heat Investors, LLC patrick.mears@btlaw.com Patrick G. Warner on behalf of Plaintiff John Morgenstein pgwarn@climacolaw.com Patrick J. Orr on behalf of Unknown MLC Asbestos PI Trust tklestadt@klestadt.com;kgarofalo@klestadt.com Patrick J. Trostle on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company ptrostle@jenner.com Paul H. Silverman on behalf of Creditor Class of Saturn Consumers PSilverman@mclaughlinstern.com Paul J. Pascuzzi on behalf of Creditor The McClatchy Company ppascuzzi@ffwpla Paul J. Ricotta on behalf of Creditor Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. The McClatchy Company ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com pricotta@mintz.com, docketing@mintz.com Peter D'Apice on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants dapice@sbep-law.com Peter D'Apice on behalf of Unknown Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., Baron & Budd, P.C. and the Cooper Law Firm as counsel for certain class action cases dapice@sbep-law.com on behalf of Unknown Deloitte Tax LLP gschwed@loeb.com, Peter Gregory Schwed tcummins@loeb.com Peter S. Partee on behalf of Interested Party DTE Pontiac North, LLC ppartee@hunton.com
Phillip W. Bohl on behalf of Creditor Willette Acquisition Corp., a/k/a Digital Technologies and Allied Vaughn phillip.bohl@gpmlaw.com R. Christopher Cataldo on behalf of Plaintiff MCM Management Corp. ccataldo@jaffelaw.com R. Hugh Stephens on behalf of Creditor Stephens & Stephens, LLP hstephens@stephensstephens.com Renee M. Dailey on behalf of Creditor Georg Fischer Automotive AG renee.dailey@bgllp.com, meghan.olsen@bgllp.com Richard David Lane on behalf of Defendant DE-SEI Institutional Investment Trust - High Yield

BMW Group rkruger@jaffelaw.com

Financial Engines Advisors L.L.C.

Bond Fund rdlane@mdwcg.com

richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com

Richard L. Epling

Richard E. Kruger on behalf of Creditor

on behalf of Creditor

```
District/off: 0208-1
                                                 User: brichards
                                                                                              Page 6 of 7
                                                                                                                                           Date Royd: Oct 22, 2015
                                                 Form ID: pdf001
                                                                                             Total Noticed: 1
The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email)
system (continued)
                      Richard L. Ferrell on behalf of Creditor EnovaPremier of Michigan LLC Ferrell@taftlaw.com
                       Richardo I. Kilpatrick on behalf of Creditor City of Detroit eof@kaalaw.com
                      Robert Sidorsky on behalf of Creditor A Raymond, Inc. sidorsky@butzel.com
                      Robert B. Weiss on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company rweiss@honigman.com
Robert D. Gordon on behalf of Creditor ATS Automation Tooling Systems, Inc.
                        rgordon@clarkhill.com
                      Robert D. Wolford on behalf of Creditor Benteler Automotive Corp.
                        ecfwolfordr@millerjohnson.com
                       Robert H. Brownlee
                                                       on behalf of Creditor c/o Robert Brownlee Maritz Holdings Inc.
                        rbrownlee@thompsoncoburn.com
                       Robert L. LeHane on behalf of Creditor LBA Realty Fund III Company IX, LLC
                        KDWBankruptcyDepartment@Kelleydrye.com; MVicinanza@ecf.inforuptcy.com
                       Robert T. Schmidt on behalf of Plaintiff Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Motors
                        Liquidation Company, et al. rschmidt@kramerlevin.com
                       Robert W. Phillips on behalf of Interested Party
                                                                                                           Certain Mesothelioma Claimants
                        rphillips@simmonscooper.com
                      Roland Hwang
                                               on behalf of Unknown
                                                                                     Michigan Department of Energy, Labor & Economic Growth,
                        Unemployment Insurance Agency hwangr@michigan.gov
                      Ronald Jay Smolow on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky ron@smolow.com, pat@smolow.com
Ronald S. Pretekin on behalf of Creditor Harco Manufacturing Group LLC piatt@coollaw.com
Russell Carl Babcock on behalf of Unknown The Estate of Kathleen Pillars, Deceased
                        russellbabcock@aol.com, marylynnmcphail@yahoo.com
                      Ryan D. Heilman on behalf of Attorney BASF Corporation rheilman@schaferandweiner.com
                       S. Alyssa Young on behalf of Plaintiff Barbara Allen ayoung@leaderberkon.com
                      Sarah L. Prutzman on behalf of Defendant Citigroup Global Markets Inc. slp4@mofo.com,
                        docketny@mofo.com
                       Sarah M. Chen on behalf of Unknown Praxair Distribution Inc. schen@lockelord.com,
                        docket@lockelord.com
                      Sarah Sandok Rabinovici on behalf of Creditor SKF USA Inc. rabinovicis@pepperlaw.com Scott A. Golden on behalf of Interested Party News America Incorporated sagolden@hhlaw.com
                       Scott I. Davidson on behalf of Defendant General Motors LLC sdavidson@kslaw.com
                      Scott I. Davidson on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC sdavidson@kslaw.com
Scott J. Freedman on behalf of Attorney Dilworth Paxson LLP sfreedman@dilworthlaw.com
Scott L. Esbin on behalf of Transferee Pandora Select Partners, LP
                      bankruptcyinfo@esbinalter.com, bankruptcyinfo@esbinalter.com
Scott N. Brown, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Hamilton County Trustee snb@smrw.com
Sean E. O'Donnell on behalf of Defendant Green Hunt Wedlake, Inc., as trustee for General
                        Motors Nova Scotia Finance Company sodonnell@akingump.com,
                        nymco@akingump.com;sspector@akingump.com
                       Sean E. O'Donnell on behalf of Unknown
                                                                                            Green Hunt Wedlake, Inc., Trustee
                        \verb|sodonnell@akingump.com|, & nymco@akingump.com| \\ \verb|sspector@akingump.com| \\ \\ |sodonnell@akingump.com| \\ |sodonnell@akingump.
                       Sharon L. Stolte on behalf of Creditor Haldex Credit Services Corp.
                        sharon.stolte@stinsonleonard.com
                       Shaya M. Berger on behalf of Unknown Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust
                        bergers@dicksteinshapiro.com
                      Stanley B. Tarr on behalf of Interested Party Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless on behalf of itself and its controlled affiliates tarr@blankrome.com
                       Stephen M. Gross on behalf of Attorney
                                                                                          McDonald Hopkins PLC sgross@mcdonaldhopkins.com
                       Stephen S. LaPlante on behalf of Interested Party
                                                                                                             Ford Motor Company
                        laplante@millercanfield.com
                       Steve Berman on behalf of Unknown Ignition Switch Plaintiffs steve@hbsslaw.com,
                        heatherw@hbsslaw.com,carrie@hbsslaw.com
                      Steven A. Ginther on behalf of Creditor Steven B. Eichel on behalf of Defendant
                                                                                             Missouri Department of Revenue sdnyecf@dor.mo.gov
                                                                                           Intesa Sanpaolo Private Banking SPA
                                                     on behalf of Defendant
                        seichel@crowell.com
                      Steven B. Eichel on behalf of Creditor Winkelmann Sp. z.o.o. se@robinsonbrog.com
Steven B. Flancher on behalf of Interested Party Michael A. Cox Attorney General
                                                                                                           Michael A. Cox Attorney General for the
                        State of Michigan flanchers@michigan.gov
                      Steven B. Soll on behalf of Unknown Certain Class Action Plaintiffs ssoll@oshr.com,
                        awilliams@oshr.com;asilverstein@otterbourg.com;swells@otterbourg.com
                       Steven Harris Blatt on behalf of Unknown Mount Kisco Chevrolet Cadillac Hummer, Inc.
                        sblatt@dealerlaw.com
                       Steven J. Reisman on behalf of Defendant DbX Risk Arbitrage 1 Fund, Lyxor/Paulson
                        International Fund Limited, Paulson Enhanced Ltd., Paulson International Ltd., Paulson Partners Enhanced, L.P., and Paulson Partners L.P. sreisman@curtis.com,
                        cgiglio@curtis.com;jdrew@curtis.com;mgallagher@curtis.com;tsmith@curtis.com;bbutterfield@curtis.c
                        \circm
                                                     on behalf of Defendant DbX - Risk Arbitrage 1 Fund, HFR MA Strategic Master
                       Steven J. Reisman
                        Trust, Institutional Benchmarks Series (Master Feeder) Ltd., Lyxor/Paulson International Fund
                        Limited, Paulson Enhanced Ltd., et al. sreisman@curtis.com,
                        cgiglio@curtis.com;jdrew@curtis.com;mgallagher@curtis.com;tsmith@curtis.com;bbutterfield@curtis.c
                       Steven M. Bierman
                                                     on behalf of Unknown
                                                                                            WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. sbierman@sidley.com,
                        emalin@sidley.com;emcdonnell@sidley.com
                       Steven R. Montgomery on behalf of Creditor J.B. Hunt Transport Services, Inc.
```

Stewart D Aaron on behalf of Defendant Kynikos Opportunity Fund II LP

smontgomery@rawle.com

stewart.aaron@aporter.com

09-50026-mg Doc 13513 Filed 10/24/15 Entered 10/25/15 00:21:57 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 89 of 89

District/off: 0208-1 User: brichards Page 7 of 7 Date Rcvd: Oct 22, 2015 Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 1

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued)

c/o Robert Brownlee Maritz Holdings Inc. rbrownlee@thompsoncoburn.com

houbank@pbfcm.com, tpope@pbfcm.com

Stuart A. Krause on behalf of Interested Party Toyota Tsusho America, Inc. skrause@zeklaw.com Susan M. Cook on behalf of Creditor Knight Facilities Management, Inc. smcook@lambertleser.com Susan R. Katzoff on behalf of Creditor Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d/b/a National Grid skatzoff@barclaydamon.com, lmcrobbie@barclaydamon.com
Thomas B. Radom on behalf of Creditor Gates de Mexico SA de CV radom@butzel.com Thomas E. Coughlin on behalf of Creditor MCM Management Corp. tcoughlin@jaffelaw.com Thomas J. Schank on behalf of Creditor John N. Graham, Trustee for the Bankruptcy Estate of High Tech Packaging, Inc. tomschank@hunterschank.com Thomas P. Sarb on behalf of Creditor Benteler Automotive Corp. ecfsarbt@millerjohnson.com
Thomas W. Schouten on behalf of Creditor Ridgeview Industries, Inc. tschouten@dunnsslaw.com
Todd S Garber on behalf of Creditor Lisa Phaneuf tgarber@fbfglaw.com
Tonya A. Trumm on behalf of Creditor Jefferson Wells International, Inc. tatrumm@michaelbest.com, safonte@michaelbest.com Trent P. Cornell on behalf of Interested Party General Motors National Retiree Association, Over The Hill Car People, LLC tcornell@pedersenhoupt.com Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr. on behalf of Unknown Gerald Haynor vmastromar@aol.com Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Pillars, Estate of Kathleen Pillars, Deceased vmastromar@aol.com Victoria D. Garry on behalf of Creditor Ohio Attorney General vgarry@ag.state.oh.us Wendy S. Walker on behalf of Creditor A.P. Moller-Maersk A/S wwalker@morganlewis.com Whitney L. Mosby on behalf of Defendant Manual Transmissions of Muncie, LLC wmosby@binghammchale.com William P. Weintraub on behalf of Attorney Hilliard Munoz Gonzales LLP and Thomas J. Henry Injury Attorney wweintraub@goodwinprocter.com, gfox@goodwinprocter.com Yolanda M. Humphrey on behalf of Creditor Tomball Independent School District

TOTAL: 289